



Journal homepage: www.Sjournals.com



Short communication

Prevalence of rare *Eimeria canis* from the non descript dogs of Mathura, Uttar Pradesh, India

V. Sudan*, A. Jaiswal, D. Shanker

Department of Parasitology, College of Veterinary Sciences & Animal Husbandry, UP Pandit Deen Dayal Upadhyaya Pashu Chikitsa Vigyan Vishwavidyalaya Evam Go Anusandhan Sansthan (DUVASU), Mathura- 281001.

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history:
Received 05 September 2012
Accepted 17 September 2012
Available online 29 September 2012

Keywords: Eimeria canis Mathura Prevalence A wide range of parasites particularly helminths, arthropods and protozoans affect dogs but when it comes to intestinal coccidians, their number is scanty. *Eimeria canis* is perhaps the most under reported parasite of dogs. The present paper deals with the prevalence of *E.canis* in dogs with no possible history of deworming from Mathura region, India. Finally, the morphology of the parasite, present scenario, reasons for under reporting vis-à-vis future projections are being described.

© 2012 Sjournals. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Dogs are the perhaps the oldest companion animal reared by man. Besides, they are kept as pets, for hunting, as guards, draught animals, for food, or for commercial purposes and perform a range of cultural, social, and economic functions in society. Based on genetic fossil and DNA evidences, the earliest records of domestication of dogs dates as early as 100000 years ago (Savolainen et al., 2002; Lindbald-Toh, 2005) and were firstly domesticated in East Asia, possibly China (Savolainen et al., 2002). Parasitic diseases, particularly gastro-intestinal helminths and protozoan have been incriminated as the major impediment to dog health worldwide (Smith, 1991). Parasite factors, host factors and environmental factors are the major key risk factors affecting epidemiology of helminthosis and other Gastro-Intestinal Track (GIT) parasites (Wakelin, 1984; Thrusfield, 2005). Most of the parasitic infections affecting dogs are sub clinical and many of these parasites are with immense zoonotic potential, thus causing a health risk to humans (Khante et al., 2009). A wide range of parasites particularly helminths, arthropods and protozoans affect dogs but when it comes to intestinal coccidians, their number is

countable on finger tips. *Eimeria canis* is perhaps the most under reported parasite of dogs. The parasite is though worldwide but very little literature is available worldwide. In order to access the prevalence of naturally occurring *Eimeria canis* in mongrel dogs, a study was conducted regarding the copro examination of nondescript dogs, with no possible history of deworming, residing in areas in and around the Campus of Veterinary University, Mathura, and Uttar Pradesh, India.

2. Materials and methods

Freshly voided faecal samples of 54 identified, free living, stray, non descript dogs of either sex and different age groups were collected and brought to the laboratory of Department of Parasitology, DUVASU, Mathura for coprological examination and kept at 4°C till examination. The samples were examined by direct faecal smear method; simple flotation and sedimentation techniques to detect parasitic oocysts and/or eggs.

3. Results and discussion

Out of the total of 54 faecal samples examined 4 samples were found to be positive for oocysts of *Eimeria canis*. The overall prevalence was found to be 7.4 %. The rare oocysts were identified based on the morphology described elsewhere (Levine and Levens, 1981). The oocysts were ovoid to ellipsoidal, 17-45 x 11-28 μ m, with a fairly thick, rough, 2-layered, colorless to pink or red oocysts wall, with micropyle at one end (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1. Eimeria canis oocyst (Arrow depicting the polar cap).

The genus Eimeria represents the most abondant genus within both protozoan and metazoan organisms comprising of more than 1,700 named Eimeria species based on qualitative and quantitative traits of their sporulated oocyst and their host specificity (Duszynski and Upton, 2001). Eimerian parasites are generally considered to be highly host specific both under natural conditions and in farmed and/ or domesticated animals (Hiepe and Jungmann, 1983; Rommel, 2000). Host systematic and geographic origin is commonly used criteria in their taxonomy. The validation and existence of Eimeria canis has been a subject of debate since long. Earlier, it was postulated that E. canis is not a valid species but is an Eimerian that results due to carnivorism by the felines (Wenyon, 1923). Of late, because of its sporadic but worldwide prevalence, it was concluded that E.canis is a valid species. The first record of this Eimerian oocysts dates back to 1922 by Brown, Stammers and Balfour. The protozoan was named E. canis by Wenyon, 1923 and was thought to be a mixture of E. stiedai and E. perforans of the rabbit. Thereafter, the parasite was found in sporadic cases worldwide (Nieschulz, 1924; Tubangui, 1931; Choquette and Gelinas, 1950; Swai et al., 2010). The prevalence of the organism is very much variable. Choquette and Gelinas (1950) reported the prevalence as high as 16.8% from Montreal. Dubey and coworkers found Eimeria canis oocysts in the feces of 9% in adult coyotes C. latrans in Montana (Levine and Levens, 1981). Of late, Swai et al. (2010) reported the occurrence of E.canis from non descript dogs for the first time from Tanzania. An interesting record about the prevalence of *E.canis* is that virtually all the reported cases are from canines with no history of deworming or any other medication. The sensitivity of the eimerian to various medications used for other purposes could be an attributing factor in this regard.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, above cited description on the Eimerian coccidiosis in canines, that often goes unnoticed because of lack of investigation has been an eye opener for the academicians, field veterinarians as well as researchers to further investigate host parasite interactions with emphasis on differences between experimental and naturally acquired infections, magnitude of the disease, its pathogenesis and pathophysiological impact on health of the host, improved diagnostic for specific diagnosis of the disease exhibiting non specific signs/ symptomatology through critically planned in vivo as well as in vitro studies. In canines, well planned experimental studies are therefore warranted to elucidate the precise reasons for underreporting/documentation of prevalence of E. canis from different parts of the country, pathogenic significance of the sporozoan in animals acquiring a primary and/or trickle doses of infections from the environment vis-a'-vis in vivo per os infections with sporulated oocysts, the impact of concurrent infectious diseases a host is likely to be exposed and effect of various factors including stress, predisposing the animal to canine coccidiosis. The advent of molecular biological techniques may improve the efficiency of detection of these infections. The parasite, so far neglected, needs adequate attention for detailed investigation about the parasitic disease. It would be interesting to precisely investigate through well planned experimental studies to elucidate (a) effective integrated therapy aiming at complete elimination of the pathogens from the host; (b) prevalence of the disease, epizootiological predisposing factors; (c) pathophysiological impact of the disease on the host health, feed intake and nutrient utilization; (d) mechanism of in vivo migration and access of the pathogen to host body defense and multiplication of the pathogen there in; and (e) immunological tissue response of the host to the parasite.

Acknowledgement

The authors express their deep sense of gratitude to the Hon'ble Vice Chancellor, DUVASU, Mathura, India for facilities provided.

References

- Choquette, P.E., Gelinas De, G., 1950. The incidence of infection of intestinal nematodes and protozoans in dogs in the Montreal district. Canadian Journal of Comp. Medicine 14(2), pp 33-38.
- Duszynski, D.W., Upton, S.J., 2001. The common coccidia of wild mammals: *Cyclospora, Eimeria* (Eimeriidae) and *Cryptosporidium* (Cryptosporidiidae) spp. In WM Samuel, MJ Pybus, AA Kocan (eds.), Parasitic diseases of wild mammals, Iowa State University Press, Ames, pp 416-433.
- Hiepe, T., Jungmann, R., 1983. *Lehrbuch der parasitologie*, Bd2, Veterinärmedizinische Protozoologie, Fischer Verlag, Jena pp 101-110.
- Levine, N. D., Levens, V. A., 1981. The Coccidian Parasites (Protozoa. Apicomplexa) of Carnivores, Illinois Biological Monographs pp 6-7
- Lindbald-Toh, K., 2005. Genome sequence, comparative analysis and halotype structure of the domestic dogs. Nature, 438(7069), pp 803-819.
- Nieschulz, O., 1924. Another case of Eimeria canis Berl. Münch. Veterinary Public Health. Wschr. 17, pp 220-221.
- Rommel, M., 2000. Parasitosen des nutzgeflügels (Huhn, Truthuhn, Gans, Ente, Taube). In M Rommel, J Eckert, E Kutzer, W Körting, T Schnieder (eds.), Veterinärmedizinische parasitologie, Parey Buchverlag, Berlin, pp 673-774.
- Savolainen, P., Zang Y., Luo J., Lundeberg J., Leitner T., 2002. Genetic evidence for an East Asian origin of domestic dogs. Science, 298(5598), pp 1610-1613.
- Smith, R.D., 1991. Veterinary Clinical Epidemiology. Butterworth-Heinmann, Boston, pp, 228.
- Swai, E.S., Kaaya, E.J., Mshanga, D.A., Mbise E.W., 2010. A survey on gastro-intestinal parasites of non-descript dogs in and around Arusha municipality, Tanzania. International Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advance 3(2), pp 63-67.
- Thrusfield, M.V., 2005. Veterinary Epidemiology. 3rd Edn., Blackwell Science, Oxford, London. pp, 234-238.
- Tubangui, M.A., 1931. Eimeria bukidnonensis a new coccidium from Cattl and other coccidial parasites of domesticated animals. The philippine Journal of Science 44, 3, pp 253-274.

- Wakelin, D., 1984. Immunity to Parasites: How Animals Control Parasites Infections. 1st Edn., Edward Arnold (Publishers Ltd.), pp, 93-117.
- Wenyon, C.M., 1923. Coccidiosis of cats and dogs and the status of one Isospora. Annals Tropical and Medical Parasitology 17, pp 231-276.