

Contents lists available at Sjournals



Journal homepage, www.Sjournals.com



Review article

Various factors influencing birth weight in animal production

N. Assan*

Department of Agriculture Management, Faculty of science and Technology, Zimbabwe open University.

*Corresponding author; Department of Agriculture Management, Faculty of science and Technology, Zimbabwe open University.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history,

Received 17 July 2013

Accepted 24 June 2013

Available online 30 July 2013

Keywords,

Environmental

Genetic parameters

Birth

Livestock

ABSTRACT

This is a review article that looks at various factors that influence birth weight in animal production. Birth weight is an important indicator of potential growth of an animal and is affected by both genetic and non-genetic factor, and the birth size depends on their interaction. Environmental conditions such as kind and quality of feed and details of management may have an influence on birth weight in livestock production. In order to minimize the adverse effects of factors that influence birth weight, it is perhaps important to understand the implications of different mechanisms, how and why they affect birth weight. Low birth weight than optimum is the main factor that determine the pre weaning losses of young ones and large birth have been associated with difficult birth. Traditional measures to ameliorate birth associated negative effects should also focus on maternal dietary manipulation which may influence optimum birth weight. Nutritional programs designed to improve dam's body condition during pregnancy will have a positive influence on birth weight of progeny. A good knowledge of genetic parameters is essential to develop accurate selection indices and to optimally design breeding programs and performance recording systems for maximum economic gain or profit from growth traits. Estimates of genetic parameters for birth weight is model dependent and ignoring of maternal genetic effect in the model leads to overestimation of direct heritability for birth weight. Estimates of direct and maternal heritability for birth

weight in different species have been reported and vary from low to high. The review article therefore, concludes that the large variability of birth weight in livestock species suggests that many factors or conditions may be responsible for this variation. The reported low heritability estimates for birth weight may be explained by the poor nutritional levels of dams creating a large environmental variation.

© 2013 Sjournals. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Birth weight as an early measurable trait is of great interest because of its positive genetic correlation with further live weights (Assan and Makuza, 2005). It has become a significant predictor of later health outcomes, lower birth weights than optimum are associated with reduced energy reserves, lowered thermoregulatory capability, and increased calf deaths at or near birth (Ferrel, 1993). Information on factors influencing birth weight is of interest to farmers as well as the animal breeder, because birth weight is of great economic importance (Bermejo et al., 2010). Variation in birth weight within a population can be attributed to genetic, environmental and the physiological factors that impinge on individuals. Heavy individual birth weight is important not only for survival (Roeche and Kalm, 1999; Milligan et al., 2002), but also for lifetime performance (Rydmer et al., 1989). On the other hand, low birth weight in piglets are particularly at risk from pre-weaning morbidity and mortality (Wolf et al., 2008). Therefore, understanding of factors affecting birth weight may be crucial for evaluation of lifetime performance. Effect of birth year, lamb's sex and birth type has been reported significantly in different animal species, in sheep (Rahmatrnejad et al., 2009), in sheep (Assan and Makuza, 2005), in goats (Banerjee and Jana, 2010) and pigs (Wright, 1921). Foetal growth, hence birth weight, is influenced by numerous factors including number of foetuses, sex, parity or age of the cow, breed of sire, breed of dam, heat or cold stress, and nutrition.

Birth weight due to its relative simplicity may be of interest to livestock producers and similar situations as a preliminary selection criteria in animal production. The accurate and reliable estimates of genetic parameters, particularly heritability estimates are key factors, required to decide optimum selection and breeding strategies for genetic improvement in animal production. The influence of direct, maternal genetic and maternal environment effects on birth weight in different animal species has been well documented (Dezfuli and Mashayekhi 2009; Assan, 2012) in cattle, (Assan et al., 2002; Buvanendran et al., 1992) in sheep, (Nicoll et al., 1989; Caro Petrvic et al., 2012) in goats and (Roeche, 1999) in pigs. The purpose of the review is to assess the various factors which include genetic and non-genetic parameters influencing birth weight in livestock production.

2. Environmental factors

2.1. Birth status and parity influencing birth weight

Type of birth had the greatest influence on birth (Ruttle, 1967) and higher litter size was connected with lower birth weights average (Wolf et al., 2008). An increase in litter size will decrease the average piglet birth weight, leading to an increase in pre-weaning mortality (Hermesch et al., 2001); Knol et al., 2002). The number of piglet born alive and average piglet weight at birth are antagonistic traits, the weighting of both traits in the total merit index should be done cautiously in order not to overemphasize birth weight traits and unintentionally decrease litter size by selecting heavier piglets from smaller litter (Suarez et al., 2004). Birth weight was significantly affected by type of birth and generally birth weight decreased with increase in litter size. Robinson et al (1977) reported that for lambs in utero, as the number of foetuses increases, the number of caruncles attached to each foetus decreases, thus reducing the feed supply to the foetus and hence reduction in the birth weight of the lambs. In goat and sheep, a

positive correlation was found between birth weight and the weight of cotyledons (Alexander, 1964; Alkass et al., 1999; Osgerby et al., 2003; Madibela, 2004; Oramari et al., 2011). Also, it has been reported that the number of cotyledons per foetus varies between and within breed, litter size, sex and environmental conditions (Alexander, 1964). Therefore, the survival of a newborn is affected by sufficiency of placenta (Mellor and Stafford, 2004).

Studies conducted on lambs, single lambs weighed heavier at birth more than lambs of multiple birth (Vesely et al., 1970). Single born and male kids grew faster than twin born and female kids. It would seem that birth type effects are commonly observed at pasture (Beischer et al 1992) and it is so mainly because of competition for the limited supply of doe milk. This is supported by Norton and Banda (1993) who found no differences in growth between single and twin born kids when subjected to artificial rearing of the kids. Elsewhere, the increase in litter size, reduced body weight of kids (Caro Petrovic et al., 2012). As expected single born lambs as well as male lambs were heavier at birth than multiple born or female lambs, respectively. This was attributed to the fact that the maternal uterine space has finite capacity to gestate lambs and as litter size increases individual birth weight decline due to a maternal constraint of foetal development. Their weaning weight and average daily gain also followed the same trend (Dickson-Urdaneta et al., 2004). Singles were significantly heavier in indigenous Sabi sheep while had non-significant effect in exotic Mutton Merino and Dorper sheep (Assan and Makuza, 2005). Atkins (1980) reported similar findings that birth status had a significant effect on birth weight. The differences between the exotic and indigenous sheep breeds could be due to the fact that not many cases of multiple birth have been reported in the indigenous Sabi breed. Sabi sheep rarely produce twins under communal farming setup in Zimbabwe. The low birth weight and subsequent growth rate of twin born lambs can be attributed to competition for nutrients in utero (Galal et al., 1972). As litter size increases, there is decrease in birth weight of individual offspring (Donald and Russel, 1970). The differences in foetal weight because of differences in litter size appears early as the first month of pregnancy (Hulet et al., 1969; Dingwal et al., 1981). Low birth weight was found to be leading negative cause of lamb viability (Wilson, 1986). Therefore, particular nutritional attention should be given to ewes lambing twins. Nutritional stress limits the lambs from expressing their full genetic potential (Chang and Rae, 1972) for birth weight. In pigs a single focus on litter size can obviously result in lower birth weights and decrease uniformity because litter size and piglet quality traits appear to be negatively correlated. The heavier pigs farrowed in the smaller litter although the relationship seemed not quite rectilinear. Litter size might affect birth weight either by changing the intensity of competition among the developing foetus for the available nutrient supply oxygen and space or by affecting the length of gestation period so that larger litters might be born at an earlier stage of development than smaller litters (Wright, 1921). Apparently the conditions which lead to the conception of exceedingly small litters are not the optimum for developing large pigs among those which are conceived. Litter size can influence piglet survival after birth as piglet losses tend to be greater in larger litters which may be attributed to within-litter variation in piglet birth weight. (Marchant et al., 2000; Lay et al., 2002). A difference of one more or one less in each litter has much less effect on the average birth weight in some than in guinea pigs. Wright (1921) reported that size of litter had much more effect on birth weight by reducing the rate of growth of the foetus than by causing early parturition. Competition between foetus is indicated as the major way in which litter size affects birth weight. Litter mates are more apt to have the same genes than are pigs less closely related than full brothers and sisters. Yet litter mates are unlikely in many genetic factors. Litter mates also tend to be alike because they develop in the same uterus and were thus exposed to an environment remarkably uniform for members of the same litter but perhaps differing distinctly from litter to litter.

In general, parity had highly significant effect on body weight at different age groups. The body weight increased with advancement of parity and lambs born at 4th and above parities had higher body weight at different ages (Thiruvankadan et al., 2011). Birth weight of second parity was higher than first and third parity in goats (Bharathidhasan et al., 2009). Tomar et al., (1995) observed that the parity of gestation was found to have significant effect on birth weight. Similar results have been reported by Prakash and Singh (1985) and Pandev and Kanaujia (1988). Selection for sow's ability to give birth to higher number of piglets has led to an increased within-litter variation in piglet birth weight (Tribout et al., 2003). Optimise selection for litter size, studies showed that larger litters with more than 13 piglets are not always desirable given the high mortality rates of these litters. Mortality rates were increased for

litters with larger variation hence reduction within litter variation in piglet weight at birth reduced mortality.

2.2. Age of dam and maternal nutrition influencing birth weight

It has been previously demonstrated that inappropriate maternal nutrition at key stages of pregnancy is one of the measureable factors leading to decreased live weight (Wallace et al., 1999). The relative competition for nutrients between the still growing ewes and developing foetus may be the reason for depression in birth weight in lambs born to younger ewes (Thiruvankadan et al., 2011). In cattle, a calf weighs 7-8% the weight of the dam, as weight depends on age, there is a positive relation between the age of the cow and weight of calf at birth. Dam's age was significant on birth weight (Dixit et al., 2001) but some contradictions with others (Elfadili et al., 2000; Abegaz et al., 2005). After 10-11 years of dam age, calf birth weight tends to decline, but this varies among breeds. Age of does had a significant effect on birth weight and effect of parity of dam on body weights in lambs were observed by Yazdi et al., (1998 in Baluchi sheep and Mandal et al., (2003) in Muzaffarnagari sheep. Differences between ages 2 and 3 was significant but ages between 2 and other ages (4,5,6) it was very significant (Caro Petrovic et al., 2012). Van der Westhuizen et al., (2004) stated that kids born to young does (2 years-old- does) had lower body weights up to 16 months of age of dam than kids born to 4 to 8 year old does. Authors concluded that age of dam is one the internal factors that have a marked influence on overall efficiency of the flock. The lambs produced by dams of 5 years and more had more weight than other lambs. This was related to higher capacity of milking in association with 5 years and more ewes in comparison to younger ewes (Dixit et al., 2001; Rashid et al., 2008). Thiruvankadan et al., (2011) reported that maximum birth weight was observed in lambs born to ewes in 4th parity and above parities. Birth weight determines how much milk the calf can consume, the bigger the calf the bigger suck and the more milk the cow will produce. Research has shown that the birth weight of the calf will be approximately 7% of the dam's body weight as a result bigger cows will have heavier birth weight calves.

The effect of nutrition was relatively small, yet statistically quite significant (Dwyer, 2003). There was a significant effect of year on birth weight due to improvements in management and feeding of ewes during pregnancy (Combellas et al., 1980). Maternal nutrition during pregnancy plays an important role in the regulation of foetal and placental development, therefore has the potential to influence foetal growth as indicated by birth weight. Maternal under nutrition in pregnancy resulted in low birth weights and impaired postnatal survival in sheep (Dwyer, 2003). It was indicated that the nutrition of dam and the size of placenta are well known to determine the foetal growth rate (Mellor, 1980). Knight et al. (1988), Konyali et al. (2007), Jawasreh et al., (2009), Alkass et al. (1999) and Oramari et al. (2011) showed that birth weight was strongly associated with placental traits such as placental weight. Over nourishing the adolescent dam to promote rapid maternal growth through out pregnancy resulted in a major restriction in the placental weight, and leads to a significant decrease in birth weight relative to moderately fed normally growing adolescents of equivalent gynaecological age (Wallace et al., 1999). Inappropriate maternal nutrient intake at key developmental points during ovine pregnancy had a profound influence on the outcome of pregnancy and aspects of post natal productivity. However, it was noted that the responses to alterations in maternal nutrition in adult sheep are often highly variable and inconsistent between studies. Lamb birth weight was reduced in low intake ewes compared with high intake ewes, but the incidence of malpresentation at delivery was greater in low intake lambs. Lambs birth weight had a significant effect on neonatal development progress. Low birth weight lambs were slower than heavier lambs to stand and suckled less frequently. This could have been associated with the reason to say that lower birth weight than optimum are associated with reduced energy reserves and increased calf deaths or near birth (Dwyer et al., 2003). It may suggest that less frequent suckling by under weight young ones may result into death due to starvation. The same author concluded that low level of nutrition result in decreasing in birth weight will also affect neonatal lamb behaviour. The level of nutrition of dam and calf birth weight are positively correlated, especially in the last trimester when 70% of the calf's absolute growth takes place. There is need to reduce feed to minimize difficult birth cases, or use bulls known to produce smaller calves, especially in heifers. The birth weight of kids were influenced by the nutrition of the dam received during the pregnancy term (Otuma and Osakwe, 2008; Roy et al., 1997; Singh and Ramachandra, 2007). The regression of birth weight of kids was positive on dam weight at kidding and

each of cotyledon number and cotyledon density were negatively correlated on dam weight at kidding , respectively (Alkass et al.,2013). Also, the report claimed that the weight of dam at kidding was significantly correlated with birth weight of their kids (Jawasreh, 2003).

2.3. Sex influencing birth weight

Many decades ago, Haines (1931) found the sex differences in the birth weight of guinea pigs slight and was not quite certain of its significance. However reported that males were rather consistently heavier than females. Assan and Makuza, (2005) reported that sex had a non significant effect on birth weight in indigenous Sabi sheep. The results are in agreement with literature findings (Trail and Sacker, 1986). Khombe (1985) reported similar findings. Sex had a significant effect ($p < 0.05$) on birth weight in Mutton Merino and Dorper sheep. The males were significantly ($p < 0.001$) heavier than females in Mutton Merino and Dorper sheep. Thiruvankadan et al., (2009) reported that sex, the period of birth and type of birth of kids were the major factors affecting birth weight in Tellichery goats. Banerjee and Jana (2010) observed that buck kids weighed more than doe kids as singles born, twins of the same and different sex and also as triplets. This was in agreement with the report by Baiden (2007), Kamal Elhassan Elabid (2008) and Karna et al, (2001). Hafez (1962) attributed this to anabolic effect of male sex hormones.

2.4. Genotype and birth weight

The differences in birth weight in livestock may be attributed to the effect of breed besides of the non genetic factors (Banerjee and Jana, 2010). Ferrell (1993) studying the factors influencing foetal growth and birth weight in cattle reported that calf birth weight differed substantially among the nine breeds of cattle. Weights of calves from cows on the very high feed level had the highest birth weight, followed by medium and lowest feed levels gave the lowest birth weights. The nutritional effects were much less than the breed effects and were in general larger in magnitude in breeds having larger calves. It was suggested that low levels of maternal nutrition may result in reduced birth weight, but nutritional levels above adequate result in no further increase. Crossbred litters showed higher live weight at birth than those of pure bred litters (Abdel-Azeem et al., 2007). These results were in agreement with those reported by Seleem (2005). Superiority of crossbred litters weight may be due to hybrid vigour which appeared in different ages of kits and to superiority in litter size traits. The effect of breed are highly significant although not quite as important as litter size in pigs The importance of breed in birth weight may be attributed to the way the breeds react to differences in the environment from year to year. Indigenous livestock are well adapted to semi arid stressful conditions. They have a high degree of heat tolerance, are partly resistant to many of the disease and parasites, and have the ability to survive dry periods of feed scarcity and water shortage. These characteristics have become genetic for the simple reason that they have been acquired by natural selection over hundreds of generations. This suggests that they could be less influence of environmental stressors on birth weight in indigenous livestock than their counterpart imported from the temperate climates. The genotype of both the mother and the foetus play a vital role in determining the birth weight, while the consequent litter weights basically depend, beside the foetuses genotype, on the suckled milk from the dam (Abdel- Azeem, 2006). Gregory and Castle (1933) stated that embryonic development in the rabbit proceeds at a more rapid rate in rabbits of large races than in rabbits of small race. It was noted that the higher rate of development of these rabbits was influenced by the sperm, as well as by the egg and may be supposed to be induced by chromosomal genes. It was evident that the primary contributor of differences in foetal growth is foetal genotypes which consisted of contributions from both the sire and dam (Ferrell, 1993). Pig birth weight is largely the expression of genetic differences between dams and then some of the other environmental common to litter mates is really genetic in origin but depends on the genotype of the dam rather than on the genotype of the offspring. This is mainly expressed in crossbreeding systems. Sundaram et al., (2012) suggested that the variation in body weight can be attributed to adaptations of kids to the region with time and environmental conditions with changes in weather parameters with interactions amongst different animals within the same breed.

In pigs litter mates are subjected to similar environmental conditions during their intra-uterine life, which might well make the dam more important than the sire in affecting birth weights even though both sire and dam contribute equally to the unborn piglets. With the sire hold constant within year was but

slightly more than the eliminated by year alone and it appeared certain that the boar had some effect on the birth weight of the offspring. Assan (2011) working with indigenous Tuli cattle reported that sire had a significant effect on birth weight.

2.5. Year and season variation influencing birth weight

It is well established that year of birth causes variation on weight and performance of livestock due to climatic variations and management during pregnancy (Abegaz et al., 2005) Variation in the weather, nutrition and farm management from year to year might be responsible for increased weaning weight (Bharathidhasan et al., 2009). The significant differences in body weight among lambs born in different periods was attributed to differences in management, selection of rams and environmental conditions, such as the ambient temperature, humidity and rainfall (Thiruvankadan et al., 2011). The seasonal changes in the climate were reflected as differences in body weights during different periods of the year. Year of birth has been found to have a significant influence on birth weight in cattle (Magnus and Brink, 1971). The high variation in birth weight due to year of birth can be explained by variations in amount of annual rainfall which in turn influenced pasture production and availability of feed for the dam. Kids, prior to weaning, depend mainly on dam's milk as food, the production of which is directly related to the availability of feeds to does (Peart, 1982; Mukundan and Bhat 1983; Groot et al 1993). Seasonal influence on birth weight operates through its effect on the dam's uterine environment mostly in late gestation (Eltawil et al 1970). Season of birth plays an important role in growth performance indirectly through its influence on the dam's nutrition and hence amount of milk available to the unweaned lamb. In the post-weaning period its influence is related to its effect on the quality and quantity of pasture available to the weaned kids. Large differences in rainfall lead to marked differences between years quality and quantity of forage available (Khombe, 1985) hence supplementation would remove the year effect. Differences between years are normal phenomenon and are normally caused by fluctuations in environmental conditions that are difficult to control. The month within a year in which a lamb was born is very important. According to Mukundan and Rajagopalan, (1971) birth weights are affected by feeding conditions. The significant effect of season of lambing on the 9th month may be due to those lambs born in first season pas through a period with a favourable climate when grasses of good quality were available. Lambs born in hot rainy season spent the first months of their life in a hot rainy season which was uncomfortable for them and in which the parasitic challenge was high (Thiruvankadan et al., 2011). Pasture availability follows a seasonal pattern, Nagpal (1984) reported that the year had a significant effect on birth weight which also agreed with the findings of (Khan and Sohani, 1983). The year effect encompasses factors which include feeding, management, climate, temperature, disease control and management ability of the person responsible for data collection. Year of birth has been found to have a significant influence on birth weight in cattle (Magnus and Brink, 1971). Das et al., (1995) reported that year of birth had a significant influence on weight gain up to 6 months of age. Environmental conditions such as weather, kind and quality of feed, details of management naturally varied somewhat from season to season and may have had influenced the prenatal development of the pigs. The analysis of variance when the birth weight of pigs were grouped according to year in which they were farrowed, the effects of year was highly significant. Thiruvankadan et al., (2011) suggested that the lower birth weight of lambs in second season was due to the effect of ambient temperature, since the gestation period of the ewes would occur during hot period of the year. It is well established that as the environmental temperature increases, the cow will direct a greater portion of her blood flow to her extremities for cooling. Therefore there is less blood flow to the core of the cow, which results in a decrease in the amount of nutrients being carried to the foetus through the maternal blood, resulting in decreased birth weights when environmental temperatures are increased during gestation. In contrast, cold temperatures will result in increased birth weights, as blood flow is directed to the core of the body. The decreased feed intake, depressed thyroid activity and hence in metabolic rate of pregnant does during hot summer months affected litter weight and mean kit weight at birth negatively (Abdel-Azeem et al., 2007). The effect of season and year of birth on birth weight of Sirohi breed of goat of either sex, reared in hot and humid climate of eastern India was reported by Sandip and Jana (2010). Variation in supply and composition of feeds and fodder affects weight of kids at different life stages (Sundaram et al., 2012). Birth year causes vacillations over body weight in different ages by the effect of climate condition(rate of rainfall, humidity

and temperature), environmental and management conditions. \climate and environmental changes have effect on the quality and quantity of pasture forages, which also affect the provision of food and other requirements for animals (Mohammadi et al., 2010). Assan (2011) suggested that the below average of birth weight between 1991 to 1992 was due to prevailing drought in Zimbabwe which translated into low forage availability to animals on range. When the following season had improved the birth weight also improved. Because of the normal rainfall. This observation indicates that the large differences in rainfall can lead to marked differences between years in the quality and quantity of forage available, and this translate into differences in calf birth weights. Thorpe et al., also reported that high variation in birth weight due to year of birth could be explained by variation in the amount of rainfall which in turn influence pasture production and availability of fed. Differences in nutrition (especially during pregnancy) and management in various years are reasons for the effect of birth year on body weight in different ages (Shhroudi et al., 2001; Ahmadi et al., 2004). This influence on birth weight operates through its effect on the dam's uterine environment mostly in late gestation. (Eltwil et al., 1970). Apart from the year's effect mainly caused by climatic conditions and its influence on the availability of pasture (Carles and Riley, 1984) and milk production of the dam (Shelby et al., 1955). Environmental factors including management, disease control and administrative ability of persons responsible come into play. Yaqoob et al., (2009) reported that the effects of fodder availability and prolificacy on birth weight was significant in Dera Din Panah goats. The same author concluded that goat productivity was affected by the variation in fodder due to sporadic rains in the area and suggested a change in cropping practices such as growing more fodder during rainy season by introducing new fodder varieties and then conserve it for scarcity period. Thiruvankadan et al., (2009) reported that the effects of birth month on planning kidding season would improve production efficiency. A significant variation in birth weights in kids during different fodder availability was also observed by Nahardeka et al., (2000) and Wenzhong et al., (2005). Banerjee and Jana, (2010) cited that kids born in the summer months may be heavier at birth because dams may have access to proper nutrition in form of grazing during the spring season just prior to the onset of summer. During monsoon months the animals are usually stressed due to high humidity in the region and also due to higher parasitic load, the pasture grasses have high crude fibre content and lower protein content. After cessation of monsoon the climate improves and there is plenty of grazing. The variation in birth weight of kids in different years reflected variation in level of management (Caro Petrovi, 2012).

2.6. Fetal development and the resultant birth weight

Placental weight is a primary factor determining size of birth in many animal species (Heasman et al., 1999). Kelly (1992) and many workers working with sheep concluded that numerous factors influence placental growth and development and having investigated the role of maternal nutrition as a regulator of placental and foetal size. The major restriction in foetal growth in rapidly growing dams occurs irrespective of high concentration of essential nutrients in the maternal circulation and suggests that the small size or altered metabolic and transport capacity of placenta is the primary constraint to foetal growth hence the low birth weight. Restricting maternal nutrition to decrease birth weights is not a sound management practice. Extreme reductions in feed, such as feeding less than 70% of the cow's nutrient requirements will result in decreased birth weights. However it often times results in an increase in calving difficulties because the cows are weak and undernourished. Slightly restricting the nutrient requirements of the cow will result in decreases in energy reserves (body fat) of the cow before limiting the nutrient flow to the foetus. In partitioning of nutrients, the cow puts her pregnancy at the top of the list, right below keeping herself alive, therefore her body will work overtime to metabolize stored nutrients to allow the foetus to grow. This is why restricting feed, unless in an extreme case, has little impact on birth weight. In sheep[placental weight peaks at approximately mid gestation, with structural remodelling occurring over the second half of pregnancy to meet the increasing nutritional demands of the growing foetus. In general the right horn of the uterus in beef cows is larger, in addition a greater percentage of the ovulations, that result in pregnancy come from the right ovary. Some research suggests that calves are conceived in the right horn gestation are have great birth weights due to the larger uterine horn. While it is well understood that the dam and sire of a calf play a role in the genetically predicted birth weight of a calf, other factors do come into play. It is important to keep the other factors in mind that impact the birth weights of your calves to help ensure a successful and prosperous calving season.

2.7. The relationship between birth weight and calving problems

Calving difficulties account for a tremendous amount of economic loss in the beef cattle industry. The highest correlated factor contributing to calving difficulties is the size and or body weight of the calf. Of all cases of calving difficult, 60-90% of them can be attributed to the birth weight of the calf. While it is well understood that genetic selection for birth weight is critical for a successful calving season, there are several factors, aside from genetic influence, that impact the birth weight of a calf. Birth weight has long been regarded as a major contributing factor associated with calving difficulty. Basically, the higher the BW EPD, the greater the calving difficulty is expected from the use of such sires. Also, the birth weight is an important breed characteristic in cattle. The birth weight is not only the easiest and the most reliable measurement of the prenatal period, but also a substantial factor that affects post-natal growth and development (Akbulut et al., 2001). A substantial and positive relationship was determined between the birth weight and the first calving age (Kaygisiz et al., 1995; Bakir et al., 2004; Heinrichs et al., 2005). This relationship can be interpreted as Heifers that have a higher birth weight on calving at an earlier age (Pietersma et al., 2007). Additionally, calving difficulties and death risk are closely related to the birth weight in cattle farming. The rate of death and calving difficulty has increased in both calves that have a very low and high birth weight (Johanson and Berger, 2003).

3. Genetic parameters

3.1. Cattle

Literature estimates for direct heritability for birth weight in cattle are variable and range from low to high. In most cases the direct additive genetic variance is higher than maternal additive genetic variance. The corresponding direct heritability and maternal heritability of birth follow the same trend (Assan, 2012). This shows that birth weight is much more influenced by the genetics of the calf than the dam, however both effects remain very important. Findings of larger direct additive genetic variance in cattle have been reported in literature by DeMattos et al., (2000) and Choi et al., (2000). Direct heritability estimates for birth weight in different univariate and bivariate analysis for Charolais and Hereford calves born at first and later parities ranged from 0.44 to 0.51 (Eriksson, et al 2004). In a related study higher estimates of direct heritability were observed by Phocas and Laloe, (2004) working with Charolais and Maine-Anjou breeds, and Limousin and Blonde d'Aquitaine breeds of 0.30 and 0.40, respectively. Other comparable results for direct effects have also been found in study of native Korean cattle by Lee et al., (2000), in study of Austrian beef cattle by Meyer et al (1993) and in study of Japanese Black cattle by Aziz et al (2005). The heritability estimates of birth weight are in conformity with those reported in literature for Boran (*Bos Indicus*) cattle in Ethiopia (0.24) (Haile- Mariam. and Philipson, 1995), and those reported for Nelore (*Bos indicus*) cattle in Brazil (0.22) (Eler et al., 1995) and 0.25 reported by Shin, et al. (1990). However the estimate of direct heritability reported above are slightly below the weighted mean estimate (0.31) for several different beef breeds (Koots et al., 1994). There are also less than the direct heritability (0.33) reported for *Bos Taurus* and *Bos Taurus** *Bos indicus* crosses of (Meyer, 1992a) and for Brahman cattle (0.33) in Venezuela (Plasse et al 2002a). Elsewhere lower heritability estimates were obtained by Ferraz et al (2000) for birth weight of Santa Gertrudis, which were lower than the average value of 0.31 summarized of 167 number of research studies by Koots et al (1994)

Maternal heritabilities estimated for birth weight in different univariate and bivariate analysis for Charolais and Hereford calves born at first and later parities ranged from 0.06 to 0.15 (Eriksson, et al 2004) and were comparable to those reported by Phocas and Laloe, (2004) working with Charolais and Maine-Anjou breeds, and Limousin and Blonde d'Aquitaine breeds observed reported maternal effects on birth weight of 0.10. Assan (2012) reported maternal heritability for birth weight of 0.14 in Tuli cattle which coincides with reports by Intaratham et al., (2008) in a Northeastern Thai indigenous cattle and Meyer, (1992). The weighted mean from different beef breeds ranged from 0.12 to 0.55 reported by Kriese, et al., (1991). Lower maternal heritability of 0.08 and 0.07 were reported by Plasse et al (2002a;

2002b), 0.09 obtained by Haile- Mariam and Philipson (1995) and 0.11 reported by MacNeil (2003). Generally the maternal heritability is less than literature estimates of Meyer (1992b) which was 0.17 for mean estimate for *Bos taurus* and Zebu crosses. Based on a suggested appropriate model, Dezfuli and Mashayekhi, (2009) estimated maternal heritability for birth weight to be 0.08. From an analysis of Boran cattle birth weight, Wasike et al. (2009) reported maternal heritability of 0.10 for birth weight. Gutierrez et al. (2007) and Albuquerque (2001) also reported direct and maternal heritability for birth weight of Zebu cattle of 0.28 and 0.01, respectively. But, Kriese et al (1991), working with Santa Getrudis cattle, obtained estimates of direct and maternal heritability to be 0.38 and 0.26. Low to moderate maternal heritabilities range of (0.11- 0.24) were estimated for birth weight in Najdi calves by Dezfuli and Mashayekhi, (2009) using different models.

It is generally concluded that the covariance and correlation between additive and maternal genetic effects were negative (Meyer, 1993; Arthur et al. 1994; Pang et al 1994). The results in literature dealing with the genetic correlation between direct and maternal effects for birth weight vary (Meyer, 1992a). A negative correlation between direct and maternal genetic effects could be an indication of genetic antagonism between genes (Ferraz et al., 2000) and it may therefore be important to consider the both direct and maternal genetic effect in selection for weaning weight. The weak negative genetic correlation (-0.31) between direct and maternal genetic effects for birth weight were comparable to those reported by Ferraz, et al., (2000) of -0.39 and -0.37 obtained by Plasse et al., (2002a). A similar estimate of -0.36 for Brahman cattle was obtained by Pico, (2004). This is also similar to weighted mean obtained for several beef breeds by Koots et al. (1994), but higher than estimates of -0.55 for Boran (Haile- Mariam, and Philipson 1995) and of -0.50 reported by Diop, (1970) in Gobra cattle in Senegale. It is however less than the estimates of -0.17 obtained for Gobra cattle by Diop and Van Vleck (1998) and -0.14 reported in Boran cattle by Wasike, et al. (2009). The genetic correlation between direct-maternal effects was negative, low and could indicate a genetic antagonistic between genes and should be considered in selection criteria. This agrees with results reported by Kriese et al. (1991) in Santa Getrudis cattle and other Brahman crosses in USA and Eler et al., (1994) in Nelore cattle in Brazil. The low negative covariance (-0.06) estimate was associated with a moderate estimates of direct and low maternal heritability and, an increase in the total heritability. The total heritability for birth weight was 0.67 in Tuli cattle (2012) and this is higher than the estimates of 0.28 and 0.30 obtained by Plasse et al (2002a; 2002b) in Brahman cattle. Lower estimates of 0.10, 0.17 and 0.08 were found by the following authors, Eler et al. (1995) for Nelore, Haile- Mariam and Philipson (1995) for Boran and Diop and Van Vleck (1998) for Gobra, respectively.

3.2. Sheep

Assan (2012) working with two exotic sheep breeds in Zimbabwe reported estimates of heritability for direct genetic effects, maternal genetic effects, and the genetic covariance between direct and maternal effects as a proportion of the total variance for birth weight as 0.23, 0.16, 0.85 and 0.26, 0.46, -0.25 for Dorper and Mutton Merino sheep, respectively. Fitting the direct and maternal genetic covariance resulted to negative estimates of direct-maternal genetic correlation for birth weight (Ghafouri-Kesbi and Eskandarinasab, 2008). Chaudhry and Shah (1985) reported that heritability of birth weight was 0.14 in Lohi and 0.10 in Kachhi breeds of sheep. Maui and Rodricks (1987) studied production data of Merino-Nilagiri crossbred sheep and reported heritability of birth weight as 0.10, 0.07. Sarti et al. (2001) reported the heritability estimation as low as 0.20, calculated on the basis of analyzing 393 weight records, collected from birth till weaning. Bromley et al., (2000) reported the heritability estimate of birth weight as 0.09 in Columbia, Polypay, Rambouillet and Targhee breeds of sheep.

Barazandeh et al., (2011) in goat demonstrated that animal models which ignore maternal effects might result in overestimation of direct heritability Moderate direct heritability estimates for sheep were reported by Campbell, (1971) (0.26); Murayi and Wilson,(1991) (0.24); Mehta and Bhatqava, (1992) (0.22). Using a sire model for birth weight Burfening and Carpio(1993), Van Wyk et al., (1993) and Nicoll et al., (1989) reported heritability estimates range of 0.10 to 0.20. However higher direct heritability estimates for BWT in sheep were reported by Maria et al.,(1993) (0.48); Boujenme and Kerfal (1990) (0.34) and Alkassi et al.,(1990) (0.44). (Maria et al., (1993) and Snyam et al.,(1996) reported lower direct heritability than in the present study of 0.04 and 0.11, respectively. On the other hand Bowman (1984)

and Wiener (1994) cited approximate value ranges of direct heritability estimates of birth weight of 0.08 to 0.72 from several authors working with different breeds of sheep such as Shropshire, Southdown, Corriedale, Targhee, Columbia and Rambouillet. It is interesting to note that direct heritability on sheep on range agreed very well with those studied under intensive system. It can be concluded that direct heritability may vary according to method of analysis, type of breed and management system. Moderate heritability estimates recorded for birth weight (0.47) (Assan, 2012) was lower than most of the estimates in the literature (Al-Shorepy, et al., 2002; Portolana et al., 2002 and Kosum, et al., 2004), though similar to 0.43 reported by Hongping (2007) and lower than 0.68 reported by Mourad and Anous (1998) for Boer goats and Common African and Alpine goats, respectively. Stobart (1983) made genetic analysis of Columbia, Rambouillet and Targhee sheep and reported that heritability of birth weight was $0.06 + 0.01$. Sheikh et al. (1986) made genetic evaluation of a flock of Kashmir Merino sheep and reported that heritability of birth weight was 0.03 to 0.02.

Maternal heritability estimates were 0.16 and 0.46 for Dorper and Mutton Merino sheep, respectively (Assan, 2012). However it was noted that maternal heritability was higher than direct heritability in Mutton Merino sheep which indicates that maternal effects play an important role and need to be included in the analysis of birth weight in this population. Elsewhere Burfening and Kress (1992) observed maternal heritability estimates range of 0.30 to 0.65 for birth weight in different breeds. However the above estimates were higher than those reported by Khaldi and Biochard (1989) of 0.02. The higher estimates of maternal heritability were probably due to high common environmental effects as a proportion of the total phenotypic variance which constituted more. The reported estimates of additive maternal effects suggest that maternal effects might be important for birth weight in small ruminants. The advantage of inclusion of maternal effects in pre-weaning weight animal models avoids upwards biased estimates of direct heritability Van Wyk et al., (1993) and Waldron et al., (1992) which may result in overestimation of total genetic response. Genetic correlation between direct and maternal effects was large and positive (0.85) for Dorper which may be biologically impossible (Assan, 2012). Elsewhere positive genetic correlation of direct and maternal effects have been reported by Synam et al., (1996) and Olivier et al., (1994) for birth weight in sheep. Yazidi et al., (1997) observed positive direct and maternal genetic effects of 0.18 for birth weight in sheep. The positive genetic correlation may imply that selection for increased birth weight may be possible, however caution should be taken to minimize difficult birth in the populations. The positivity of the correlation between direct and maternal effects has been previously attributed to the structure of the data (Lee and Pollack, 1997). The negative and small genetic correlation of direct and maternal effects have also been reported in literature (Van Wyk et al., 1993; Burfening and Kress, 1992; Olivier et al., 1994). The estimate of negative correlation between direct and maternal effects of the above authors fall within the range of -0.18 to -0.74 reported by several authors working with different breeds of sheep (Burfening and Kress, 1992; Yazidi et al., 1997; Tosh and Kemp, 1994). Common environmental maternal effects variance contributed 13% and 25% of the total phenotypic variance for birth weight in Dorper and Mutton Merino sheep, respectively (Assan, 2012). This may be attributed to the uterine environmental and the ability of the ewes to provide adequate milk when the multiple birth which are common in both breeds.

3.3. Genetic parameters for birth weight in pigs

Genetic analyses of birth weight and its variation within litter have been presented in several papers (Hogberg and Rydhmer, 2000; Hermesh et al., 2001; Damgaard et al., 2003; Huby et al., 2003). Birth weight direct heritability estimates are reported in the range from 0.02 to 0.11 (Kaufmann et al., 2000; Knol et al., 2002; Grandinson et al., 2002; Chimonyo et al., 2006; Su et al., 2006). Low to moderate heritabilities were found for litter weight at birth and within litter standard deviation in the birth of 0.19 (Begsma et al., 2008) which conform to reports by Okoro et al., (2013) who reported an estimate of 0.15. The differences in heritability from the previous studies may be attributed partly due to the fact that direct maternal effect model could not be fitted (Roeche, 1999), data and maternal genetic effects were estimated in a combined litter effect. However, Roeche and Kennedy (1993) managed to show that the direct genetic and litter effects model can be efficiently used to estimate direct effects independent of maternal genetic effect, which maternal genetic and environmental effects cannot be disentangled or not enough pedigree information is available. Singh et al., (2001) working with Landrace using full sib

correlation method the estimate of heritability for body weight at birth (0.94) from dam component of variance was higher in magnitude than the sire component (0.38). This was attributed possible due to inclusion of maternal/non-additive genetic variance component which had considerable influence on the growth performance of piglet up to weaning. These results were fairly comparable with the reports by Sukh Deo et al., (1981). Differences in breed was not conspicuous in estimation of heritabilities, for litter at birth, 0.32 for Landrace, 0.32 for Yorkshires, 0.23 for Durocs and slightly lower, 0.09 for Hampshires, respectively (Kim et al., 1988). This scenario may be attributed to pig population under the same management. Estimates of heritability for age at birth of last litter were between 10 and 20% ranging from 0.11 for Yorkshire to 0.19 for Landrace and 0.19 for Duroc (Johnson and Nugent, 1999). Estimate for posterior means of heritability for birth weight was almost three times as high at 0.20 (Roeche et al., 2009). A low heritability of litter weight at birth of 0.14 was reported by Rasali and Penalba (1993) and average weight of pig per litter at birth was small (Rattanaronchart and Puprasert, 1986). Traits like average birth weight appeared to have moderate heritability, 0.33 for sows of lines selected for growth rate on restricted feeding and low for litter birth elsewhere, 0.08 (Roeche, 1999). Using a Bayesian posterior means for direct, maternal heritability and litter proportion of variance in individual birth weight were 0.09, 0.26 and 0.18, respectively

4. Final comment

The review concludes that there is a strong influence of various environmental factors on birth weight of animals. The significant influences of environmental factors on birth weight can be explained in part by differences in years, male and female endocrine system, limited uterine space and inadequate availability of nutrients during pregnancy and maternal effects and maternal ability of dam in different ages. The influence of different environmental variables on birth weight are not independent of each other but may overlap considerably. Sex influence seems inescapable in birth weight differences, it is real but comparatively unimportant among the other causes of differences in birth weight. Practical implications of studying birth weight is not only for livestock management decision making but also to minimize the adverse effects of factors that retard foetal development.

It has been evident from the discussion that environmental factors influence birth weight of animals as much as genetic factors, with better birth weight observed were indigenous animals are crossed with improved livestock type. This suggest that there is potential for improving tropical animal breeds by combining their great adaptability with the higher potential of improved genotype.

The direct heritability of birth weight is variable, ranging from low to high and is much dependent on statistical model used. Both negative and positive correlation of direct and maternal genetic effect are possible for birth weight. The negative genetic correlation for direct and maternal genetic effect shows that there is antagonism of genes as a result both direct and maternal genetic effects should be considered in selection in such a situation.

Birth weight has become a significant predictor of later health outcomes, lower birth weights than optimum are associated with reduced energy reserves, lowered thermoregulatory capacity and increased deaths of young ones. Birth weight should be given some consideration in preliminary selection criteria in livestock populations in view of the fact that there is comparatively high genetic variability of both direct and maternal effects in livestock species which can be exploited to improve weight traits by selection. However, to arrive at a valid conclusion for weight at birth, the association of birth with further live weights should be understood first. There is high genetic variability for birth weight in animals which may be exploited, if birth weight is to be considered for inclusion in selection index for genetic improvement of live weights as productive traits in animal production. In pigs the antagonistic relationship between litter size and piglets birth weight was confirmed, subsequently it may be reasonably to conclude that inclusion of birth weight as a selection criteria is recommended. Research has also acknowledged that the number of piglet born alive and the average piglet weight at birth have a negative correlation, therefore the weighting of both characters in selection index should be done with care as a result not to overemphasize weight at birth and unintentionally decrease litter size by selecting heavier baby pigs from smaller litter.

References

- Abdel-Azeem, A.S., 2006. A study of physiological and performance aspects in four pure breeds of rabbits and their crosses. MSc thesis, Faculty of agriculture, Fayoum University, Egypt.
- Abegaz, S., van Wyk, J.B., Olivier, J.J., 2005. Model comparisons and genetic and environmental parameters estimates of growth and kleiber ratio in Horro sheep. *S. Afr. J. Anim. Sci.* 35,30-40.
- Ahamadi, M., Roshanfekar, H., Khashoei, E.A., Mohamadi, Y., 2004. The study of genetic and phenotypic parameters and some growth traits Kermanshah Sanjabi sheep. *J. Agric. Sci. Nat. Resour.* 11,91- 98.
- Albuquerque, L.G., Meyer, K., 2001. Estimates of covariance functions for growth from birth to 630 days of age in Nelore cattle. *J. Anim. Sci.* 79, 2776-2789.
- Alexande, G., 1964. Studies on the placenta of sheep (*Ovis aries* L.). Placental size. *J. Reprod. Fert.* 7, 289-305.
- Alkass, J.E., Al-Azzawi, W.A.R., Asofi, M.K., Elia, J.V., 1999. Number and weight of cotyledons and there relevance to variation in birth weight of kids. *Iraqi j. Agr. Sci.* 30(2), 641-646.
- Alkass, J.E., Merkhan, K.Y., Hamo, R.A.H., 2013. Placental traits and their relation with birth weight in Meriz and Black goats. *Sci. J. Anim. Sci.* 2(6),168-172.
- Alkassi, J.E., Azizi, D.A., Hermiz, H.N., 1990. Genetic parameters of growth traits in Awassi sheep, Emirates *J. Agric. Sci.* 3,152- 161.
- Al-Shorepy, S.A., Alhadrami, G.A., Abdul-Wahab, K., 2002. Genetic and phenotypic parameters for early growth traits in Emirati goats. *Small Ruminant Research.* 45,217-223.
- Arthur, P.F, Liu, M.F., Makarechian, M., 1994. Estimates of direct and maternal heritabilities for growth and lifetime production traits in beef cows. *Proc. 5th World Congr.. Genet. Appl. Livest. Prod. Guelph, Canada. Vol.17.* pp 225- 228.
- Assan, N., 2009. Non-genetic factors and correlation studies *Global J. Agric. Sci.* 10(1),63- 70).
- Assan, N., 2011. Non genetic factors and correlation studies in cattle. *Global J. Agric. Sci.* 10(1), 63- 70.
- Assan, N., 2012. Genetic parameter estimation and trends for birth weight in cattle. *J Anim. Sci. Adv.* 2(Suppl. 3.1), 274- 281.
- Assan, N., Makuza, S.M., 2005. The effect of non genetic factors on birth weight and weaning weight in three sheep breeds of Zimbabwe. *Asia-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 18(2),151-157.
- Assan, N., Makuza, S.M., Mhlanga, F., Mabuku, O., 2002. Genetic evaluation and selection response of birth weight and weaning weight in indigenous Sabi sheep. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 15(12),1690-1694.
- Atkins, K.D., 1980. Non genetic factors affecting body weight in sheep. *Australian Journal of Exp. Agric. Anim. Husb.* 20,272-279.
- Aziz, M.A., Nishida, S., Suzuki, K., Nishada, A., 2005. Estimation of direct and maternal genetic and permanent environmental effects for weaning weights from birth to 356 days of age in a herd of Japanese Black cattle using random regression. *J. Anim. Sci.* 83,519- 530.
- Baiden, R.Y., 2007. Birth weight, birth type and preweaning survivability of West African Dwarf goats raised in Dangme west district of greater Accra region og Ghana. *Trop. Anim. Prod. Healt. Product* 39(2),141- 147.
- Banerjee, S., Jana, D., 2010. Factors affecting birth weight of Sirohi goat kids reared in hot and humid climate of west Bengal. *World App. Sci. J.* 9(12),1379-1382.
- Begsma, R., Kanis, E., Verstegen, M.W.A., Knol, E.F., 2008. Genetic parameters and predicted selection results for maternal traits related to lactation efficiency of sows. *J. Anim. Sci.* 86(5),1067- 1080.
- Beischer, D.A., Pattie, W.A., Norton, B.W., 1992. Environmental factors influencing the growth of Ausralian feral goats. *Small. Rum. Res.* (accepted).
- Bermejo, L.A., Mellado, M., Camacho, A., Mata, J., Arevalo, J.R., Nascimento, L., 2010. Factors influencing birth and weaning in Canarian hair lambs. *J. Appl. Anim. Res.* 37,29-31.
- Bharathidhasan, A., Narayan, R., Gopu, P., Subramanian, A., Prabakaran, R., Rajendran, R., 2009. Effect of nongenetic factors on birth weight, weaning weight and preweaning gain of Babri goat. *Tamilnadu J. Vet. Anim. Sci.* 5(3),99-103.
- Bosso, N.A., Van der Waaij, E.H., Agyemang, K., Van Arendok, J.A.M., 2009. Genetic parameters for growth traits in N'Dama cattle under tsetse challenge in Gambia. *Liv. Res. Rural Dev.* 21(3).
- Boujenane, I., Kerfal, M., 1990. Estimates of genetic and phenotypic parameters for growth traits of Dman lambs, *Anim. Prod.* 51,173- 178.

- Bowman, J.C., 1984. An introduction to animal breeding. Edward Arnold. London. UK, 1984.
- Brownlee, J.W.I., 1977. The Nguni cattle of Rhodesia. Rhodesia Agric. Sci., 58; 878-886.
- Buitendang, G., 1986. Commercial Agriculture in Zimbabwe 1986/7, Annual Report (Ed M. Van Hoffen) Mardon Printers, Harare, Zimbabwe.
- Bula, D., Rov, T.C., Goswami, R.N., Savenqe, A., Das, B., 1998. Factors affecting birth weight in Assam local and Beetal*Assam local (F1) kids. Indian J. Small Ruminants 4(2),83- 86.
- Burfening, P.J., Carpio, P., 1993. Genetic and environmental factors affecting growth rate and survival of Junin sheep in central highlands of Peru. Small Rumin. Res. 11,275- 287.
- Burfening, P.J., Kress, D.D., 1992. Early growth traits in sheep related to their subsequent most probable producing ability, Small Rumin. Res.7, 67- 74.
- Buvanendran, V., Makuza, S.M., Chironga, P., 1992., Phenotypic and genetic parameters of weaning traits in Dorper sheep in Zimbabwe. Small Rumin. Res. 7,369- 374.
- Campbell, Q.P., 1971. Breeding problems in Dorper Sheep, PhD Thesis, University of Orange Free State, Bloemfotein SA.
- Carles, A.B., Riley, J., 1984. Methods of analyzing the effects of season of birth on weaning weight in a Zebu*Temperate beef herd in Kenya. Anim. Prod. 38,429.
- Caro Petrovic, V., Ilic, Z., Ruzic Muslic, D., Petrovic, M.P., Petrovic, M.M., Tomic, Z., Marinkov, G., 2012. Analysis of environmental and genetic factors in growth characteristics of Balkan goat. Biotech. Anim. Husb. 28(2),275- 282.
- Central Statistical Office, (CSO) 2000. Agriculture and Livestock Surveys in Communal Lands, Central Statistical Office, Causeway, Harare, Zimbabwe.
- Chang, T.S., Rae, A.L., 1972. The genetic basis of growth, reproduction and maternal environment in Romney ewes. II Genetic co variation between hogget characters, fertility and environment of the ewe. Aust. J. Agric. Res. 23,149-165.
- Chaudhry, M.Z., Shah, S.K., 1985. Heritability and correlation of birth weight, weaning weight and 12 months weight in Lohi, Awassi, Hissardale and Kachhi sheep. Pak. Vet. J. 5(2) 67-71.
- Chimonyo,, M., Dzama, K., Bhebhe, E., 2008. Genetic determination of mothering ability and piglet growth in indigenous Mukota sows of Zimbabwe. Liv. Sci. 113,74-80.
- Choi, S.B., Lee, J.W., Kim, N.S., Na, S.H., Keown, J.F., Van Vleck, L.D., 2000. Estimation of genetic parameters for direct, maternal and grand maternal genetic effects for birth weight, weaning weight and six month weights of Hanwoo (Korean Cattle). Asian-Aus. J. Anim. Sci. 2, 149- 154.
- Combellas, J., Martinez, N., Gonzalez, E., 1980. A study of factors which influence birth and weaning weight in lambs. Trop. Anim. Prod. 5,3.
- Cundiff, L.V., Gregory, K.E., Wheeler, T.L., Shackelton, S.D., Koohmaraie, M., 1994. Carcass and meat characteristics of Tuli, Boran, Brahman, Belgian Blue, Piedmontese, Hereford and Angus breed crosses in the cattle Germplasm Evaluation Programme. Proc. 5th World Congr. Gen. Appl. Liv. Prod. Guelp, Ontario, Canada Vol 17, pp 272- 274.
- Damgaard, L.H., Rydher, L., Lovendahl, P., Grandinson, K., 2003. Genetic parameters for within litter variation in piglet birth weight and change in within-litter variation during suckling. J. Anim. Sci. 81,604- 610.
- Das, N., Sharma, A.K., Joshi, H.B., 1995. Body growth in Babari goats under intensive management system. Indian J. Anim. Sci. 65(30,348-351.
- Das, S.M., 1989. Preliminary results on evaluation and breeding of Blended dairy goats in Tanzania. In, Wilson R.T. and Azeb Melaku (eds), African Small Ruminant Research and Development. Proceedings of a Conference held at Bamenda, Cameroon, 18-25 January 1989. ILCA (International Livestock Centre for Africa), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. pp. 536-545.
- DeMattos, D.I., Misztal, D.I., Bertrand, J.K., 2000. Variance and covariance components for weaning weight for Hereford in three countries. J. Anim Sci. 78,33- 37.
- Dezfuli, B.T., Mashayekhi, M.R., 2009. Genetic study of birth weight and weaning weight in Najdi calves. J. Anim. Vet. Advances. 8(2) ,276- 280.
- Dickson-Urdaneta, L., Torres-Hernandez, G., Daubeterre, M., 2004. Crecimiento en ovinos West African bajo un sistema de pastoreo restringido en Venezuela. Rev Fac. Agr-Luz, 21,59-67.

- Dingwall, S.W., Robinson, J.J., Fraser, C., 1981. Foetal growth during early pregnancy in prolific ewes. *Anim. Prod.* 32,364 (Abstr).
- Diop, M., 1997. Design and analysis of open nucleus breeding systems for cattle in Senegal. PhD thesis Univ. of Nebraska, Lincoln, Lincoln.
- Diop, M., Van Vleck, L.D., 1998. Estimates of genetic parameters for growth traits of Gobra cattle. *Anim. Sci.* 66,349- 355.
- Dixit, S.P., Dhillon, J.S., Singh, G., 2001. Genetic and non genetic parameters estimates for growth traits of Bharat Merino lambs. *Small Rumin. Res.* 42,101-104.
- Donald, H.P., Russel, W.S., 1970. The relationship between liveweight of ewe at mating and weight of newborn lamb. *Anim. Prod.* 12,273-280.
- Donkin, D.J., 1973. Sheep breeds in Rhodesia. In, *Sheep Handbook*, Sheep Producers Association, Harare, Zimbabwe.
- Dwyer, C.M., Lawrence, A.B., Bishop, S.C., Lewis, M., 2003. Ewe-lamb bonding behaviours at birth are affected by maternal under nutrition in pregnancy. *Br. J. Nutr.* 89(1),123- 36.
- Ebangi, A.L., Erasmus, G.J., Tawah, C.L., 2000. Genetic trends for growth in the Gudali and Wakwa cattle breeds of Cameroon. *S. Afri. J Anim. Sci.* 30, 36- 37.
- Eler, J.P., Ferraz, J.B.S., Lobo, J.B., Josakian, I.A., 1992. Genetic antagonism between growth and maternal ability in Nelore cattle. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 70 (Suppl), 138 (Abstr).
- Elfadili, M., Michaux, C., Detilleux, J., Leroy, P.L., 2000. Genetic parameters for growth traits of the Moroccan Timahdit breed of sheep. *Small Rum. Res.* 37,203-208.
- Eltawil, L.E., Hazel L.N., Sidwell, G.M., Terrill, C.E., 1970. Evaluation of environmental factors affecting birth, weaning and yearling traits in Navajo sheep. *J. Anim. Sci.* 31(5),823-827.
- Eriksson, S., Nasholm, A., Johansson, K., Philipsson, J., 2004. Genetic parameters for calving difficulty, stillbirth and birth weight for Hereford and Charolais at first and later parities. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 82,375-383.
- Ferraz, J.B.S., Eler, J.P., Ribeiro, P.M.T., 2000. Genetic study of Santa Getrudis cattle in Brazil. *Liv. Res. Rural Dev.* 12 (20), 130- 137.
- Ferrel, C.L., 1993. Factors influencing fetal growth and birth weight in cattle. Beef Research Program, Roman L. Hruska US, Meat Animal Research Centre, Paper 132.
- Galal, E.S., Aboul-Naga, E.E.A., Elatawil, E.A., Kishin, E.S., 1972. Estimates of Combining Abilities and Maternal Influence in Crosses between Merino, Ossimi and Barkie Sheep. *Anim. Prod.* 15,47-52.
- Gardner, D.S., Buttery, P.J., Daniel, Z., Symonds, M.E., 2007. Factors affecting birth weight in sheep, maternal environment. *Reproduction.* 133(1),297- 307.
- Ghafouri-Kesbi, F., Eskandarinassab, M.P., 2008. An evaluation of maternal influences on growth traits, the Zandi sheep breed of Iran as an example. *J. Anim. Feed Sci.* 17,519-529.
- Grandison, K., Lumd, M.S., Rydhmer, L., Strandberg, E., 2002. Genetic parameters for the piglet mortality traits crushing, stillbirth and total mortality, and their relation to birth weight. *Acta Agric. Scand. Anim. Sci.* 52,167-173.
- Gregory, P.W., Castle, W.E., 1931. Further studies on the embryological basis of size inheritance in the rabbit. *J. Exp. Zool.* 59,199-211.
- Groot, B., De Narayan Prasad, R.A., Soni, R.L., Nett, P., Kropf, W., 1993. Performance of Sirohi goats under village conditions in Rajasthan, India. In, *Recent Advances in Goat Production. Proceedings of the V International Conference on Goats, held in New Delhi, India, 2-8 March 1992.* International Goat Association, New Delhi, India/International Development Research Centre, Ottawa, Canada/Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy/Indo-Swiss Development and Fodder Production Project, Ajmer, Rajasthan, India. pp. 534-544.
- Gutierrez, J.P., Goyache, F., Fernandez, I., 2007. Genetic relationships among calving ease, calving interval, birth weight and weaning weight in the Asturiana de los valles beef cattle breed. *J. Anim. Sci.* 85(1), 69- 75.
- Hafez, E.S.E., 1962. *Reproduction in farm animals.* Lea and Febiger. Philadelphia.
- Haile- Mariam, M., Philipson, J., 1995. Estimates of genetic and environmental trends of growth traits in Boran cattle. *Blackwell Wissenschafts-Verlag, Berlin* pp 43- 55.

- Haines, G., 1931. A statistical study of the relation between various expressions of fertility and vigor in the guinea pig. *J. Agric. Res.* 42,123-164.
- Heasman, L., Clarke, L., Stephenson, J.J., Symonds, M.E., 1999. The influence of maternal nutrient restriction in early to mid pregnancy on placental and fetal development un sheep. *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* 58(2),283- 8.
- Hermesch, S., Luxford, B.G., Graser, H.U., 2001. Genetic parameters for piglet mortality, within litter variation of birth weight, litter size and litter birth weight. *Proc. Assoc. Advmt. Anim. Breed. Genet.* 14,211- 214.
- Hongping, Z., 2007. Estimation of genetic parameters of Boer goat reproductive traits. [http://scholar, google.com/scholar?q](http://scholar.google.com/scholar?q).
- Horgberg, A., Rydhmer, L., 2000. A genetic study of piglet growth and survival. *Acta Agric. Scand A. Anim. Sci.* 50,300- 303.
- Huby, M., Gogue, J., Maignel, L., Bidanel, J.P., 2003. Correlations genetiques entre les caracteristiques numeriques et ponderales de la portee, la variabilite du poids des porcelets et leur survie entre la naissance et le sevrage. *Journ. Rech. Porc.* 35,293- 300.
- Hulet, C.V., Foote, W.C., Price, D.A., 1969. Factors affecting growth of ovine fetuses during early gestation. *Anim. Prod.* 11,219-223.
- Hyder, A.U., Akhtar, P., Gondal, K.Z., 2000. Influence of some non genetic factors on birth weight of Teddy goat kids. *Pakistan Vet. J.* 22(3),116- 119.
- Intaratham, W., Koonawootrittriron, S., Sopannarath, P., Graser, H.U., Tumwasorn, S., 2008. Genetic parameters and annual trends for birth and weaning weights of a Northeastern Thai indigenous cattle line. *Asian-Austr J Anim Sci* 21,478-483.
- Jawasreh, K.I., 2003. Genetic evaluation of Damascus Goats in Jordan. PhD. Dissertation University of Bagdad, Iraq.
- Jawasreh, K.I.Z., Awawdeh, F.T., Khasawneh, A.Z., Shdaifat, B., Al-Shboul, H., Al-Hamed, B., 2009. The effect of some placental factors in birth weight of Awassi lambs. *Research Journal of Agriculture & Veterinary Sciences* 4, 5-8.
- Johnson, Z.B, Wright, D.W., Brown, C.J., Bertrand, J.K., Brown, A.H., 1992. Effect of including relationship in the estimation of genetic parameters of beef calves. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 70,78- 88.
- Johnson, Z.B., Nugent, R.A., 1999. Estimates of heritability for lifetime productivity traits and longevity in four breeds of swine. *AAES Res. Series*, 563.
- Kamal, E.E., 2008. Various factors affecting birth weight of Sudanese Nubian goat kids. *Res. J. Agric. Bio. Sci.* 4(6),700- 703.
- Kanaujia, A.S., Pander, B.L., Vinayak, A.K., Kaira, S., 1986. Seasonal variation in reproductive parameters of does, A note. *Indian J. Anim. Prod. Managt* 2(4),168-170.
- Karna, D.K., Koul, G.L., Bisht, G.S., 2001. Birth weight, morphometry and relative gain in body weight of Chegu kids. *Indian J. Anim. Sci.* 71(2),180- 182.
- Kars, A.A., Erasmus, G.J., Van Der Westhuizen, J., 1994. Genetic and environmental trends in the Nguni cattle stud at Bartlow Combine Nguni Cattle Stud. *S. Afr. J. Anim. Sci.*, 35,1316- 1323.
- Kaufmann, D., Hofer, A., Bidanel, J.P., Kunzi, N., 2000. Genetic parameters for individual birth and weaning weight and for litter size of Large White pigs. *J. Anim. Breed. Genet.* 117,121-128.
- Khaldi, G., Biochard, D., 1989. Effects directs directs et maternels sur les caracteres de croissance en race ovine Barbarine, Intra-Ariana (tunisie), 62, 3-20.
- Khan, B.U., Soha, K.L., 1983. Prewaning body weights and linear body measurements in Jamunapari goats under semi- arid farm conditions. *J. Anim. Sci.* 53,835-840.
- Khombe, C.T., 1985. Environmental factors affecting the growth and viability of crossbred sheep and goats on range in Zimbabwe. In, *Small Ruminants in African Agriculture.* (Ed. R. T. Wilson and D. Bourzat). International Livestock Centre for Africa (ILCA), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia pp. 46-52.
- Kim, J.B., Chung, H.W., Park, Y.I., 1988. Heritabilities and genetic correlations between litter size and litter weight in swine. *Korean J. Anim. Sci.* 10,596- 599.
- Knight, T.W., Lynch, .R., All, .R.H., Ockey, H.U.P., 1988. Identification of factors contributing to the improved lamb survival in Marshall Romney sheep. *N. Z. J. Agric. Res.* 31,9–71.

- Knol, E.F., Ducro, B.J., van Arendonk, J.A.M., van der Lende, T., 2002. Direct, maternal and nurse sow genetic effects on farrowing, pre-weaning and total piglet survival. *Liv. Prod. Sci.* 73,153-164.
- Konyalı, A., Tolu,C., Das,G., Savas, T., 2007. Factors affecting placental traits and relationships of placental traits with neonatal behavior in goat. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.* 97, 394–401.
- Koots, K.R., Gibson, J.P., Smith, C., Wilton, J.W., 1994. Analyses of published genetic parameter estimates for beef production traits. 2. Phenotypic and genetic correlations. *Anim. Breed. Abstr.* 62,825-853.
- Kosum, N., Taskin, T., Akbas, Y. and Kaymakei, M. 2004. Heritability estimates of birth and weaning weight in Saanen, Bornova and Saanen x Kilis goats. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences.* 7(11), 1963-1966.
- Koul, G.I., Bisht, G.S., Biswas, J.C., Gupta, B.D., 1996. Studies on pre and postweaning body weights in Cheghu breed of pashmina producing goats. *Indian J. Anim. Healt.* 35(1),1-5.
- Kriese, L.A., Bertrand, J.K., Benyshek, L.L., 1991. Genetic and environmental growth trait parameter estimates for Brahman and Brahman-derivative cattle. *J. Anim. Sci.* 69,2362-2370.
- Lavaraj, V.A., Gore, A.P., 1987. Cross-bred goat population in rural community. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics* 42,587-594.
- Lay Jr, D.C., Matteri, R.L., Carroll, J.A., Fangman, T.J., Safranski, T.J., 2002. Preweaning survival in swine. *J. Anim. Sci.* 80,E74- E86.
- Lee, C., Pollak, E.J., 1997. Relationship between sire*year interactions and direct-maternal genetic correlation for weaning weight of Simmental cattle, *J. Anim. Sci.*, 75, 68- 75.
- Lee, J.W., Choi, S.B., Jung, Y.H., Keown, J.F., Van Vleck, L.D., 2000. Parameter estimates for direct and maternal genetic effects on yearling weight, eighteen-month and slaughter weights of Korean native cattle. *J. Anim. Sci.* 78,1414-1421.
- Lush, J.L., Hetzer, H.O., Culbertson, C.C., 1933. Factors affecting birth weights of swine. J. Paper No Jii6 of the Iowa Agric. Experiment Station, Ames Iowa.
- Mac Neil, M.D., 2003. Genetic evaluation of an index of birth weight and yearling weight to improve efficiency of beef production. *J. Anim. Sci.* 81,2425- 2433.
- Madibela, O.R., 2004. Placental mass of grazing Tswana goats kidding at two different periods during the dry season. *J. Biol. Sci.* 4, 740–743.
- Magnus, W.L., Brink, J.S., 1971. Relationship between direct and maternal effect on growth in Herefords. I. Environmental factors during preweaning growth. *J. Anim. Sci.* 32,17-25.
- Mandal, A., Pant, K.P., Nandy, P.K., Rout, P.K., Roy, R., 2003. Genetic analysis of growth traits in Muzaffarnagari sheep. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.* 35,271- 284.
- Marchant, J.N., Rudd, A.R., Mendl, M.T., Broom, D.M., Meridith, M.J., Corning, S., Simmons, P.H., 2000. Timing and causes of piglet mortality in alternative and conventional farrowing systems. *Vet Rec.* 147,209- 214.
- Maria, G.A., Boldman, K.G., Van Vleck, L.D., 1993. Estimates of variance due to direct and maternal effects for growth traits of Romanov sheep, *J. Anim. Sci.* 71, 845- 849.
- Martin, T.G., Sales, D.I., Smith, C., Nicholson, D., 1980. Phenotypic and genetic parameters for lamb weights in a synthetic line of sheep. *Animal Production* 30,261.
- Maui, V., Rodricks, I.M., 1988. Performance of Merino Nilagiri crossbred sheep-birth and weaning weights. *Cheiron*, 16(5), 194-198.
- Mehta, S.L., Bhatqava, R.K., 1992. Heritability estimate in Sonadi and Sonadi crosses with Corriedale. 1. Body traits, *Livestock Adviser*, 117,23- 27.
- Mellor, D.J., Stafford, K.J., 2004. Animal welfare implications of neonatal mortality and morbidity in farm animals. *Vet. J.* 168, 118–133.
- Meyer, K., 1992a. Variance components due to direct and maternal effects for growth traits of Australian beef cattle. *Liv. Prod. Sci.* 31,179-204.
- Meyer, K., 1992b. Bias and sampling covariances of estimates of variance due to maternal effects. *Gen. Sel. Evol.* 24,487-509.
- Meyer, K., 1993. Covariance matrices for growth traits of Australian Polled Hereford cattle. *Anim. Prod.* 57, 37- 45.
- Meyer, K., Carrick, M.J., Donnelly, B.J.P., 1993. Genetic parameters for growth traits of Australian beef cattle from a multibreed selection experiment. *J. Anim. Sci.* 71,2614-2622.

- Milligan, B.N., Fraser, D., Kramer, D.L., 2002. Within litter birth weight variation in the domestic pig and its relation to pre-weaning survival, weight gain and variation in weaning weights. *Liv. Prod. Sci.* 76,181-191.
- Mohammadi, K., Beygi Nassiri, M.T., Fayazi, J., Roshanfekr, H., 2010. Effects of environmental factors on preweaning growth traits in Zandi lambs. *J. Anim. Vet. Adv.* 9(5),903- 906.
- Mourad, M., Anous, M. R., 1998. Estimates of Genetic and Phenotypic Parameters for some growth traits in the Boer goat. *Small Ruminant Research*, 45, 217-223
- Mrode, R.A., 1988. Selection experiments in beef cattle. Part 2. A review of responses and correlated responses. *Anim. Breed. Abstr.* 56,155- 167.
- Mukundan, G., Rajagopalan, T.G., 1971. An evaluation of dam on the frequency of multiple births in Malabari goats. *Kerala. J. of Vet. Sci.* 2(2),95-98.
- Mukundan, G., Bhat, P.N., 1983. Lactation curve in Malabari goats and their Saanen half-bred. 1. Milk production. *Indian Journal of Animal Science* 53(6),666-669.
- Murayi, T., Wilson, R.T., 1991. Factors affecting weights of Africa long fat tailed sheep on research stations in Rwanda. *International J. Anim. Sci.* 7,131- 136.
- Nagpal, S., Chawla, D.S., 1985. Non-genetic factors affecting body weights in crossbred goats. *Indian J. Anim. Sci.* 55(3),203-207.
- Nicoll, G.B., Bighan, M.L., Alderton, M.J., 1989. Estimates of environmental effects and genetic parameters for live weights and fleece traits of Angora goats. *Proc. New Zealand, Soc. Anim.Prod.* 49,189- 189.
- Norton, B.W., Banda, T.T., 1993. The growth potential of Australian cashmere goats from birth to weaning. In, *Recent Advances in Goat Production. Proceedings of the V International Conference on Goats*, held in New Delhi, India, 2-8 March 1992. International Goat Association, New Delhi, India/International Development Research Centre, Ottawa, Canada/Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy/Indo-Swiss Development and Fodder Production Project, Ajmer, Rajasthan, India. pp. 885-892.
- Okoro, V.M.O., Ogundu, U.E., Okoli, I.C., Opara, M.N., Okoro, C.L., Nwachukwu, E.N., Ibe, S.N., 2013. Estimates of genetic parameters for growth traits in pig crosses of Nigerian indigenous and exotic breeds at birth, weaning and maturity. *Wayamba J. Anim. Sci.* 578,660-667.
- Olivier, J.J., Erasmus, G.J., Van Wyk, J.B, Konstantinov, K.V., 1994. Direct and maternal variance components for clean fleece weight, body weight and mean fibre diameter in the Grootfontein merino stud., *S. Afr. J. Anim. Sci.* 24,122- 124.
- Oramari, R.A.S., Alkass, J.E., Hermiz, H.N., Hussein, Y.Y., 2011. Some placental factors and their relevance to variation in birth weight of Karadi lambs. *Roavs.* 1(3), 165-168.
- Osgerby, J.C., Gadd, T.S., Wathes, D.C., 2003. The effects of maternal nutrition and body condition on placental and foetal growth in the ewe. *Placenta* 24(2-3), 236-47.
- Otuma, M.O., Osake, I.I., 2008. Estimation of genetic parameters of growth traits in Nigeris Sahelian goats. *Res. J. Anim. Sci.* 2(3),83-86.
- Packer, I.U., Razook, A.G., Trovo, J.B.F., Bonilha, L.M., Figueiredo, L.A., Nascimento, J., Pacola, I., Candido, J.G., Campos, B.E.S., Machado, W.B., 1986. Selection for yearling weight in Nelore and Guzuru zebu breeds, selection applied and response. In *Proc. 3th World Cong. Genet. Appl. Livest. Prod.* 11. pp. 419- 423.
- Pandev, P.L., Kanaujia, A.S., 1988. Factors affecting and heritability of components of reproductive efficiency in Beetal goats. *Indian J. Anim. Sci.* 58,1226.
- Pang, H., Liu, M.F., Makarechian, M., Berg, R.T., 1994. Estimation of variance components due to direct and maternal effects for growth traits of young beef bulls in four breed group. *Proc. 5th World Congr. Genet. Appl. Livest. Prod. Guelp, Canada.* Vol 17, pp 229- 232.
- Peart, J.N. 1982. Lactation of suckling ewes and does. In, *Coop I.E. (ed), Sheep and Goat Production. World Animal Science C. 1. Production-Systems Approach.* Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. pp. 119-134.
- Phocas, F., Laloe, D., 2004. Genetic parameters for birth and weaning traits in French specialized beef cattle breeds. *Liv. Prod. Sci.* 89(2-3),121- 128.
- Pico, B.A., 2004. Estimation of genetic parameters for growth traits in South African Brahman cattle MSc Thesis, University Of Orange Free State, Bloemfontein, RSA.

- Plasse, D., Verde, O., Fossi, H., Romero, R., Hoogesteijn, P., Bestidas, J., Bastardo, J., 2002b. Covariance components, genetic parameters and annual trends for calf weights in a pedigree Brahman herd under selection for three decades. *J. Anim. Breed. and Gen.* 119 (3),141- 153.
- Plasse, D., Verde, O., Fossi, H., Romero, R., Hoogesteijn, R., Bastidas, P., Bastardo, J., 2002a. (Co)variance components, genetic parameters and annual trends for calf weights in a pedigree Brahman herd under selection for three decades. *J. Anim. Breed. Genet.*, 119,141- 153.
- Portolana, B., Todora, M., Finocchiaro, R., Vankaam, J.H.C.M., 2002. Estimation of the genetic and phenotypic variance of several growth traits of the Sicilian Girgentana goat. *Small Ruminant Research*. 45, 247-253.
- Prakash, B., Singh, S., 1985. Factors affecting multiple births and their crosses with Alpine and Saanen breeds. *Indian J. Anim. Prod. Managmt* 1(4),177.
- Prasad, S.P., Roy, A., Pandey, M.D., 1973. Studies on goats. 1. Effect of plane of nutrition on reproductive performance of does. *J Agric. Sci.* 80(2),375-37.
- Rahmatnejad, E., Roshanfekar, H., Mohammadi, K., Mamouei, M., 2009. Estimation of genetic and environmental parameters affected preweaning traits of Arabi lambs. *J. Anim. Vet. Adv.* 8,2590-2593.
- Rasali, D.P., Penaiba, F.F., 1993. Phenotypic and genetic parameters among litter traits of pigs in Nepal, 2. Heritabilities and correlations. *Phillippine J. Vet Anim. Sci.* 19(3),111- 120.
- Rashidi, A., Mokhtari, M.S., Jahanshashi, A.S., Abadi, M.R.M., 2008. Genetic parameter estimates of preweaning growth traits in Kermani sheep. *Small Rumin. Res.* 74,165- 171.
- Rattanarochart, S., Puprasert, P., 1986. Genetic parameters of fertility traits in Large White pigs. *J. Agric.* 2(2),132- 146.
- Robinson, J.J., McDonald, I., Fraser, C., Crafts, R.M.J., 1977. Studies on reproduction in prolific ewes. 1. Growth of the products of conception. *Journal of Agricultural Science (Cambridge)* 88,539-552.
- Roeche, R., Kennedy, B.W., 1993. Efficiency of an approximate animal model for maternal and direct genetic effects of litter size in swine, *J. Anim. Sci.* 71,3251- 3260.
- Roeche, R., 1999. Genetic determination of individual birth weight and its association with sow productivity traits using Bayesian analyses. *J. Anim. Sci.* 77(2),330- 343.
- Roeche, R., Shrestha, N.P., Mekkiawy, W., Baxter, E.M., Knap, P.W., Smurthwaite, K.M., Jarvis, S., Lawrence, A.B., Edwards, SA., 2009. Genetic analysis of piglet survival and individual birth weight on first generation data of a selection experiment for piglet survival under outdoor conditions. *Liv. Sci.* 121,173- 181.
- Roy, R., Saxena, S.K., Singh, S.K., Khan., B.U., 1997. Genetic analysis of body weight at different ages in Jamunapari goats. *Indian J. Anim. Sci.* 67(4),337- 339.
- Ruttle, J.L., 1967. Influence of sex and type of birth on performance of early weaned lambs. *J. Anim. Sci.* 32(5),974-976.
- Rydmer, L., Eliasson, L., Stern, S., Anderson, K., Einarsson, S., 1989. Effects of piglet weight and fraternity size on performance, puberty and farrowing results. *Acta. Agric. Scand.* 39,397-406.
- Sandip, B., Jana, D., 2010. Factors affecting birth weight of Sirohi goat kids reared in hot and humid climate of west Bengal. *World Appl. Sci. J.* 12,1379-1382.
- Sarmiento, R.M., Gracia, J.P., 2007. Estimation of genetic parameters and variance components for growth traits in Romosinuano cattle in the Colombian humid tropics. *Genet. Mol. Res.* 6,482- 491.
- Sarti, F.M., Panella, F., Bogani, D., 2001. The most suitable traits in Appenninica sheep for meat selection. *Zootinica-e-Nutrizione Animale.* 27(2); 65-73. (CAB Abstracts).
- Seleem, T.S.T., 2005. Some reproductive, productive and physiological aspect of purebred and crossbred Flander and New Zealand white rabbits under Egyptian environmental conditions. In, *Proc. 14th Intern. Conf. Rabbit Prod. Hot Clim.*, 24- 27. Feb. Sharm El-Sheikh, Egypt, 161- 168.
- Shelby, C.E., Clark, R.T., Woodward, R.R., 1955. The heritability of some economic characteristics of beef cattle. *J. Anim. Sci.* 14,372.
- Shiekh, N.A., Dhillon, J.S., Parmar, O.S., 1986. Genetic evaluation of a flock of Kashmir Merino sheep.1. Body weights. *Ind. J. Anim. Sci.* 56(2), 244-247. (*Anim. Breed. Abst.* 54(8), 5223, 1986).
- Shin, O.Y., Kim, J.B., Han, K.J., Park, Y.I., 1990. Estimation of genetic parameters for economic traits in Korean Native cattle (Hanwoo). *Korean J. Anim. Sci.* 32, 190-197.

- Shroudi E.F., Shiri, A., Tawakolyan, J., Mesgaran, M.D., 2001. Estimation of maternal effects on growth traits of Kurdish Iqam in North of Khorasan. *Pjoohesh Sazandegi* 50,62- 65.
- Singh, D., Ramachandran, N., 2007. Lactation performance of Sirohi goats under intensive production system. *Indian J. Small Rumin.* 13,2.
- Singh, K.P., Mishra, R.R., Singh, R., Chaudhary, A.P., 2001. Heritability estimates for growth and carcass traits in Landrace pigs. *Indian J. Anim. Res.* 35(20),138- 140.
- Snyman, M.A., Olivier, J.J., Olivier, W.J., 1996. Variance components and genetic parameters for body weight and fleece traits of Merino sheep in arid environment, *S. Afr. J. Anim. Sci.* 26,11- 14.
- Stobart, R. H. 1983. Genetic and phenotypic analysis of liveweight maturing patterns and their relationship to ewes productivity in Columbia, Rambouillet and Targhee sheep. *Dissertation Abst. International, B (Sciences and Engineering)* 44(6) 1656. (*Anim.Breed. Abst.* 53(5), 2842, 1985).
- Suarez, M., Hermsch, S, Braun, J.A., Ulrich-Graser, H., 2004. Estimates of genetic parameters . reproductive traits at different parities in Australian hyperprolific large white sow. *Proc. Assoc. Advmt. Anim. Breed. Genet.* 16,145- 148.
- Sukh Deo (1977). PhD Thesis., Agra University, Agra.
- Sundaram, M.S., Muthuramalingam, T., Rajkumar, J.S.I., Nishanth, B., Sivakumar, T., 2012. Growth performance of Tellicherru goats in an organized farm. *Intern. J. Dairy Sci. Res.* 1(3),9-11.
- Thiruvankadan, A.K., Karunanithi, K., Muralidharan, J., Babu, R.N., 2011. Genetic analysis of preweaning and postweaning growth traits of Mecheri sheep under dry land farming conditions. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 24(8),1041-1047.
- Thorpe, W., Cruick, D.K.R., Thompson,D.K.R. 1980. Genetic and environmental influence on cattle production in Zambia.1. Factors affecting weaner production from Angoni Barotse and Boran dams. *Anim. Prod.* 33,165- 177.
- Tomar, S.S., Singh, R.B., Sharma, R.C., 1995. Effect of cross breeding and other factors on multiple births, abnormal kidding and sex ratio in dairy goats. *Indian J. Anim. Res.* 29(2),105- 111.
- Tosh, J.J., Kemp, R.A., 1994. Estimation of variance components for lamb weights in three sheep populations, *J. Anim. Sci.* 72,1184- 1190.
- Trail, J.C., Sacker, G.D., 1966. Production records of lambs from East Africa Blackheaded
- Tribout, T., Caritez, J.C., Gogue, J., Gruand, J., Billon, Y., Bouffaud, M., Lagant, H., Le, Dividich, J., Thomas, F., Quesnel, H., Gueblez, R., Bidanel, J.P 2003. Estimation, par utilisation de semence congelee, du progress genetique realise en France entre 1977 et 1998 dans la race porcine Large White, resultants pour quelques caracteres de reproduction femelle. *J. Rech. Porc.* 35,285- 292.
- Van der Westhuizen, J.M., Wentzel, D., Grobler, M.C., 2004. Angora goats and mohair in South Africa. Revised edition, Port Elizaberth, South Africa.
- Van Rooyen, A.F., Freeman, A., Moyo, S., Rohrbach, D., 2007. Livestock Development in Southern Africa. Future Research and Investment Priorities. ICRISAT Bulawayo, Zimbabwe.
- Van Wyk, J.B., Erasmus, G.J., Konstantinov, K.V., 1993. Variance component and heritability estimates of early growth traits in Elsenburg Dormer sheep stud., *S. Afr. J. Anim. Sci.* 23,72-76.
- Verma, R.R.P., Singh, B.K., Singh, M.P., Singh, N., 1991. Factors affecting reproductive performance in Black BBengal goats. *Indian Vet. J.* 68,235-39.
- Vesely, J.A., Peters, H.F., Slen, S.B., Robison, O.W., 1970. Heritabilities and genetic correlations in growth and wool traits of Rambouillet and Romnelet sheep.. *J. Anim. Sci.* 30,174.
- Waldron, D.F., Clarke, J.N., Rae, A.L., Kirton, A.H., Bennet, G.L., 1992. Genetic and phenotypic parameters estimates for selection to improve lamb carcass traits., *New Zealand J. Agric. Res.* 35, 287- 298.
- Wallace, J.M., Bourke, D.A., Aitken, R.P., 1999. Nutrition and fetal growth, paradoxical effects in the overnourished adolescent sheep. *J. Reprod. Fertil. Suppl.* 54,385- 99.
- Wallace, J.M., Bourke, D.A., Palmer, R.M., Da Silva, P., 1999. Nutrition and the pregnant adolescent. *Rowett Res. Inst. Annu. Rep.* 36-39.
- Wasike, C.B., Indetie, D., Ojango, J.M.K., Kahi, A.K., 2009. Direct and maternal covariance components and genetic parameters for growth and reproductive traits in the Boran cattle in Kenya. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.*, 41(5), 741- 748.
- Wenzhong, L., Yuan, Z., Zhongxiao, Z., 2005. Adjustment for non genetic effects on body weight and size in Angora goats. *Small Rum. Res.* 59,25-31.

- Wiener, G., 1994. *Animal Breeding*, Macmillan, London, UK, 1994.
- Willham, R.L., 1972. The role of maternal effects in animal breeding, III. Biometrical aspects of maternal effects in animals. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 35,1288-1293.
- Wilson, R.T., 1986. *Livestock production in Central Mali*, Long term studies on cattle and small ruminants in the agropastoral system Research Report 14. ILCA, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Wolf, J., Zakova, E., Groeneveld, E., 2008. Within-litter variation of birth weight in hyperprolific Czech Large White sows and its relation to litter size traits, stillborn piglets and losses until weaning. *Liv. Sci.* 115,195- 205.
- Wright, S., 1921. Correlation and causation. *J.Agric. Res.* 20,557-585.
- Wu, G., Bazer, F.W., Wallace, J.M., Spencer, T.E., 2006. Board-Invited Review, Intrauterine growth retardation, Implication for the animal sciences 1. *J Anim. Sci.* 84(9),2316- 2337.
- Yaqoob, M., Shahzad, F., Aslam, M., Younas, M., Bilal, G., 2009. Production performance of Dera Din Panah goat under desert range conditions in Pakistan. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.*41,141-149.
- Yazidi, M.H., Engstrom, G., Nasholm, A., Johanson, K., Jorjani, H., Liljedahl, L.E., 1997. Genetic parameter estimates for lamb weight at different ages and wool production in Baluchi sheep, *Anim. Sci.* 65, 247-255.
- Zhang, M.H., Denise, S.K., Golden, B.L., 1991. Comparison of genetic trends of pre and post weaning traits estimated by single and multiple trait animal models. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 69 (suppl. 1), 215 (Abstr).