



# **Original article**

# Effect of agrochemicals on microflora in soybean rhizospheric soil

# G.P. Jagtap

Department of Plant Pathology, Marathwada Agricultual University, Parbhani. Maharashtra, India

\*Corresponding author: Department of Plant Pathology, Marathwada Agricultual University, Parbhani. 431 402, Maharashtra, India

## ARTICLEINFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 18 June 2012 Accepted 21 July 2012 Available online 24 July 2012

Keywords: Agrochemicals Bioinoculants Soybean

After application of agrochemicals at 1, 30 DAS and at harvest the total number of fungi, bacteria, actynomycetes, pseudomonas, azatobactor, rhizobium were counted. The result with regard to bacterial population in soybean field were significantly influenced by bioinoculant viz., rhizobium. The bacterial populations were inhibited by herbicides Alachlor in soybean and fungicides i.e. thiram and mancozeb in soybean. After 30 days of spraying of chemicals the bacterial populations were restored. With regard to rhizobium population, the bioinoculant were significantly influenced the population soybean field. The herbicides and fungicides were significantly decreased bioinoculant population, maximum inhibition was observed in mancozeb treated plot at 30 DAS. The results with regards to pseudomonas, actinomycetes and fungi population were influenced by bioinoculant viz., rhizobium. While population were inhibited by alachlor, thiram and mancozeb in soybean field. The Pseudomonas, actinomycetes and fungi population were restored after 30 days of spraying. With regard to yield of soybean were significantly influenced by bioinoculant in combination with herbicide and fungicide.

© 2012 Sjournals. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Soil is a dynamic living system and consists of a variety of micro flora *viz*. bacteria, actinomycetes, fungi, *etc*. Modern agriculture is really associated with the use of different agrochemicals. Different classes of agrochemicals like fungicides, herbicides and insecticide are being used in interated crop management. Taking in to account the

present investigation is an attempt to see the effect of agrochemicals on soil micro flora in soybean rhizospheric soil. Agrochemical residues usually occur in the top fifteen cm layer of soil. It is also the region of greatest activity of soil micro flora. *Trichoderma, pseudomonas* helps for controlling harmful soil borne plant pathogens *viz. pythium, phytopthora, fusarium, rhizoctonia* etc. Agrochemicals are a potential threat for soil microorganisms and in the long term may alter their productive, protective and adaptive capacities (Soulas and Lors, 1999). In India, the total agrochemicals consumption is about 80,000 million tones per year. Among these insecticides occupies 63 %, fungicides 16% and other chemicals 3 %. Agrochemicals are intended to protect crops, they may affect non target organisms and contaminate soil environment resulting in alterations the equilibrium of soil processes for shorter or longer period. The observed changes in the soil activity depend on the intensity and spectrum of activity as well as persistence of the chemicals (Margni *et al..,* 2002). Area, production and productivity of soybean in India during *kharif* 2007 was 87.5 lakh ha., 88.65 lakh tones and 1018 kg per ha respectively. In case of Maharashtra it was 32.628 lakh ha with average yield of 1200 kg/ha and total production of 31.54 lakh tones.

## 2. Materials and methods

During the course of present investigation a series of experiments were carried out in the field at All India Coordinated Research Project on Weed Control, Marathwada Agricultural University, Parbhani. The seeds of Soybean (JS-335) used for sowing in field experiment. The bioinoculants used for seed treatments i.e. *rhizobium and*t he herbicide alachlor used in soybean crop at different dosage.

## 2.1. Media used

Different semi-solid media were used for different microorganism. These are Thronton's agar media used for estimation of bacteria, Martin's Rose Bengal agar media used for estimation of fungi (Pepper *et al.*, 1995). Ken Knight's agar media for Actinomycetes, Kings B media for *Pseudomonas*, Yeast extract mannitol agar media for *Rhizobium* (Anarson, 1970) and Ashby's mannitol agar media used for *Azotobacter* estimation (Monkiedje *et al.*, 2002).

#### 2.2. Soil sampling

Soil samples were taken at randomly by soil sampling auger from 4-5 places from each plot and mixed thoroughly to prepare one composite sample. The plant material and other debris were removed from the sample by hand, the samples were dried in shade and then ground with wooden mortar and pastle and the soil was sieved using 4 mm mesh. The soil samples were collected from top 15 cm. Soil samples were collected at 1 DAS, 30 DAS and at harvesting in soybean crop.

# 2.3. Microbial analysis

After application of agrochemicals at 1, 30 and at the time of harvest in treated plots the total number of fungi, bacteria, actinomycetes, *pseudomonas*, nitrogen fixing bacteria i.e. *rhizobium* was counted. The number of colony forming unit (cfu) in the selective media were determined by means of the serial dilution technique and the pour plate method (Salle, 1973). Analyses were performed in three replicates.

# 2.3. Serial dilution

First prepared a series of dilution blanks consisting of sterile water for each series 250 ml conical flasks containing 90 ml of sterile water and 8-10 test tubes containing 9 ml distilled water are required. Transfer 1 g of soil sample in 10 ml sterile distilled water in test tube (1:10) and shake properly. Transfer 1 ml of suspension from this tube to another tube containing 9 ml of sterile distilled water (1:100). Against transfer 1 ml of suspension from this tube to containing 9 ml sterile distilled water (1:100). Similarly dilution process is continued as per requirement

# 2.4. Pour plate method

Using fresh sterile micro pipette, pour 1 ml aliquot from the last dilution into each of the three Petri dishes. Using the same pipette, similar aliquots from next two dilutions in three Petri dishes for each dilution, after this poured approximately 20 ml volume of molten medium in each of the plates. Immediately after pouring, gently move the plates in a whirling motion to mix the contents, than allow the medium to solidify and incubate in BOD incubator at  $30^{\circ} \pm 2^{\circ}$ C in inverted position.

#### 2.5. Calculation of total viable count

After appropriate incubation period calculate the number of colonies per plate and record by using digital colony counter. Total viable counts are calculated from the following formula.

Total viable count = Average number of colonies x size of aliquot x dilution factor.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Bacterial population

The data on bacterial population are presented in Table 1. The result at 1 day after spray and bioinoculant treatment shows that the  $T_1$  i.e. seed treatment of *Rrhizobium* @ 25 g/kg seed and  $T_2$  i.e. Thiram @ 2 g/kg seeds not much shows adverse effect on bacteria population. These population were in  $T_1$  (48 x 10<sup>6</sup>) and  $T_2$  (46.53 x 10<sup>6</sup>) were at par with control  $T_6$  (49.33 x 10<sup>6</sup>). The 25 % decreased population observed in  $T_5$  (38.66 x 10<sup>6</sup>) which contents seed treatment of *Rhizobium* @ 25 g/kg seed + Thiram @ 2 g/kg seed + pre-emergence spray fo Alachlor @ 2 kg/ha as compared to control i.e. 49.33 x 10<sup>6</sup>. In  $T_3$  i.e. pre-emergence spray of herbicide i.e. Alachlor @ 2 kg/ha has 13 % reduced bacteria population as compared to control. At 30 DAS and 1 day after spraying of Mancozeb @ 0.02 %, the significantly lowest bacterial count was observed (40 x 10<sup>6</sup>). This was followed by  $T_5$  (45.33 x 10<sup>6</sup>) and  $T_3$  i.e. Alachlor spray @ 2 kg/ha (49.66 x 10<sup>6</sup>). The highest bacterial count was observed i.e. *Rhizobium* seed treatment (68 x 10<sup>6</sup>). Recovered bacterial population was noticed at harvest in all treatments

#### 3.2. Azotobacter population

The data regarding *Azotobacter* population of soil is presented in Table 10 (Fig 10). The lowest *Azotoabcter* count was observed in T<sub>5</sub> i.e. seed treatment with *Rhizobium* @ 25 g/kg seed + Thiram @ 2 g/kg seed + preemergence spray of Alachlor @ 2 kg/ha (18.33 x 10<sup>6</sup>) followed by T<sub>3</sub> i.e. pre-emergence spray of Alachlor @ 2 kg/ha (21 x 10<sup>6</sup>). At 30 DAS and 1 day after spraying of Mancozeb 0.02 % decrease 50% *Azotobacter* population from 41.53 x 10<sup>6</sup> in control (T<sub>7</sub>) to 19.66 x 10<sup>6</sup> (T<sub>3</sub>) followed by T<sub>5</sub> i.e. seed treatment with *Rhizobium* @ 25 g/kg seed + Thiram @ 2 g/kg seed + pre-emergence spray Alachlor @ 2 kg/ha + Mancozeb @ 0.02 % (32 x 10<sup>6</sup>), while in other treatment i.e. pre emergence spray of Alachlor @ 2 kg/ha T<sub>3</sub> and seed treatment with Thiram @ 2 g/kg seed. *Azotobacter* population was recovered after 30 days.

#### 3.3. Rhizobium population

The Alachlor @ 2 kg/ha in combination with Thiram seed treatment @ 3 g/kg seed and *Rhizobium* seed treatment @ 25 g/kg seed decreased 49% *Rhizobium* population at 1 DAS (16.33 x  $10^6$ ) as compared to control (30.66 x  $10^6$ ) followed by T<sub>3</sub> i.e. pre-emergence spray of Alachlor @ 2 kg/ha showed *Rhizobium* population 23.33 x  $10^6$  Table 11, Fig 11 (Plate 6). *Rhizobium* population recovered after 30 DAS in Alachlor treated plot. While 1 day after spraying of Mancozeb @ 0.02 % in T<sub>4</sub> decreased 49 % *Rhizobium* population i.e. 20.66 x  $10^6$  as compared to control (40.66 x  $10^6$ ). At the time of harvest the *Rhizobium* population in Mancozeb treated plots also recovered (43 x  $10^6$ ).

#### 3.4. Pseudomonas population

The data regarding *Pseudomonas* population are presented in Table 1. The lowest *Pseudomonas* count was recorded in  $T_5$  i.e. Alachlor spray @ 2 kg/ha + Thiram seed treatment @ 2 g/kg seed + *Rhizobium* seed treatment @ 25 g/kg seeds at 1 DAS (30.53 x 10<sup>6</sup>). This was followed by Alachlor spray (37 x 10<sup>6</sup>). The *Pseudomonas* population was recovered after 30 days of spraying in  $T_3$  i.e. Alachlor @ 2 kg/ha (52.33 x 10<sup>6</sup>) it was at par with control (59 x 10<sup>6</sup>) while 1 day after spraying of Mancozeb @ 0.02 % in  $T_4$  indicate lowest microbial count (34.33 x 10<sup>6</sup>) which was significantly lowest than rest of the treatment. At harvest significantly highest count observed in  $T_1$  i.e. seed treatment with *Rhizobium* @ 25 g/kg seed (68 x 10<sup>6</sup>) which was at par with  $T_5$  (66.66 x 10<sup>6</sup>) and in all treatment population was restored.

Table	1
-------	---

Effect of Agrochemicals on Bacteria, Actinomycetes, Fungi, Azatobactor, Rhizobium and Pseudomonas in soybean rhizospheric soil

Tr. No.	Total bacterial population (cfu x 10 <sup>6</sup> )			Total Actinomycetes population (cfu x $10^4$ )			Total Fungal population (cfu x 10 <sup>3</sup> )		Total <i>Azotobacter</i> population (cfu x 10 <sup>6</sup> )			Total <i>Rhizobium</i> population (cfu x 10 <sup>6</sup> )			Total <i>Pseudomonas</i> population (cfu x 10 <sup>6</sup> )			
	1	30	At	1	30	At	1	30	At	1	30	At	1	30	At	1	30	At
	DAS	DAS	harvest	DAS	DAS	harvest	DAS	DAS	harvest	DAS	DAS	harvest	DAS	DAS	harvest	DAS	DAS	harvest
T <sub>1</sub>	48.00	68.00	76.00	47.66	65.00	70.66	40.00	54.00	64.00	27.00	42.66	47.66	28.66	49.33	57.00	41.00	60.33	68.00
T <sub>2</sub>	46.33	54.66	70.00	46.33	60.66	68.00	39.66	48.33	60.00	2.366	40.00	44.66	28.00	39.00	46.33	40.33	56.66	64.33
$T_3$	42.33	49.66	69.66	44.66	58.33	67.66	37.33	47.00	59.66	21.00	37.66	42.66	23.33	37.00	44.33	37.00	52.33	63.66
$T_4$	48.00	40.66	68.33	47.00	40.66	66.66	40.00	35.00	59.66	26.00	19.66	40.33	30.00	20.66	43.00	42.00	34.33	62.00
T <sub>5</sub>	38.66	45.33	72.33	38.00	53.66	69.00	33.00	43.33	63.33	18.33	32.00	46.33	16.33	32.00	51.00	30.33	48.66	66.66
$T_6$	49.33	66.66	72.66	48.00	62.00	68.33	40.66	50.33	62.33	26.33	41.33	45.00	30.66	40.66	47.33	42.33	59.00	65.00
SE <u>+</u>	1.41	2.78	1.43	2.47	3.47	1.78	2.68	2.95	1.90	0.90	1.52	2.19	1.24	1.83	1.61	3.56	2.25	1.63
CD at	4.61	8.74	4.01	8.07	10.92	5.60	8.74	9.30	6.00	2.93	4.79	6.88	4.06	5.75	5.09	11.61	7.07	5.15

5%

T<sub>1</sub> Seed inoculation with *Rhizobium* @ 25 g/kg seed

T<sub>2</sub> Seed treatment with Thiram @ 2 g/kg seed

 $T_3 \quad \mbox{Pre-emergence spray of Alachlor @ 2 kg/ha} \qquad \qquad T_4 \quad \mbox{Mancozeb spray @ 0.02 \%}$ 

T<sub>5</sub> Seed inoculation with Rhizobium @ 25 g/kg seed + Seed treatment with Thiram @ 2 g/kg seed + Pre-emergence spray of Alachlor @ 2 kg/ha + Mancozeb spray @ 0.02 %

T<sub>6</sub> Control

#### Table 2

Effect of Agrochemicals and Bioinoculants on yield of Soybean.

Tr. No.	Treatment	No. of branches	No. of pods	No. of nodules	Test weight (100 seed) g	Yield (q/ha)
T <sub>1</sub>	Seed inoculation with Rhizobium @ 25	3.44	23.11	40.67	14.25	21.20
	g/kg seed					
T <sub>2</sub>	Seed treatment with Thiram @ 2 g/kg	2.70	21.66	29	13.58	21.14
	seed					
T <sub>3</sub>	Pre-emergence spray of Alachlor @ 2	2.44	19.33	35	13.07	19.58
	kg/ha					
$T_4$	Mancozeb spray @0.02 %	2.88	20.44	32.67	13/20	20.74
T <sub>5</sub>	Seed inoculation with Rhizobium @ 25	3.00	22.36	39.67	14.07	23.70
	g/kg seed + Seed treatment with Thiram					
	@ 2 g/kg seed + Pre-emergence spray of					
	Alachlor @ 2 kg/ha + Mancozeb spray					
	@0.02 %					
T <sub>6</sub>	Control	2.11	18.66	24	12.01	18.50
SE <u>+</u>		0.82	0.17	0.20	0.45	0.63
CD at 5%		2.29	0.53	0.61	1.37	1.88

#### 3.5. Actinomycetes population

The pre-emergence spray of Alachlor @ 2 kg/ha ( $T_3$ ) decreased actinomycetes population from 48 x 10<sup>4</sup> to 44.66 x 10<sup>4</sup> while Alachlor in combination with Thiram and *Rhizobium* indicate lowest microbial count ( $T_3$ ) i.e.38 x 10<sup>4</sup> (Table 1). The actinomycetes population in Alachlor treated plot was recovered at 30 DAS. After Mancozeb application when disease incidence was occurred after 30 days of sowing, 31 % reduced actinomycetes population (40.66 x 10<sup>4</sup>) as compared to control ( $62 \times 10^4$ ) while it was restored at time of harvest. At the time of harvest in all treatment statistically significant increased Actinomycetes population.

#### 3.6. Fungal population

The data regarding fungal population of soil in Soybean is presented in Table1. The result at 1 DAS revealed that  $T_3$  i.e. combined effect of seed treatment of Thiram @ 3 g/kg seed, seed treatment of *Rhizobium* @ 25 g/kg seed and pre emergence spray of Alachlor @ 2 kg/ha reduced fungal population from 40.66 x 10<sup>3</sup> in control to 33 x 10<sup>3</sup> in treated plot. This was followed by  $T_3$  i.e. pre-emergence spray of Alachlor @ 2 kg/ha showed reduced fungal population i.e.  $37.33 \times 10^3$  after 30 days the fungal population in Alachlor treated plots was restored while in Mancozeb treated plot @ 0.02 % when disease incidence was occurred showed reduced in number i.e.  $35 \times 10^3$  this was followed by  $T_5$ . The significantly highest count were recorded in  $T_1$  i.e. seed treatment of *Rhizobium*. At the time of harvest in the Mancozeb @ 0.02 % treated plot ( $T_4$ ) also restored the fungal population it showed that the herbicides and fungicides effects was only temporary i.e. about 30 days.

#### 3.7. Effect on number of branches, pods, nodules per plant, test weight and yield

Seed inoculation with Rhizobium recorded maximum number of branches i.e. 3.44 followed by Rhizobium + Thiram + Alachlor + Mancozeb i.e. 3.00. Minimum branches recorded in control 76.00 i.e. 2.11. The data presented in Table 20 clearly indicated that the inoculation of *Rhizobium* has brought about maximum increase in pods i.e. 23.11 and which was followed by Rhizobium + Thiram + Alachlor + Mancozeb i.e. 22.36. Minimum pods recorded in control T<sub>6</sub> i.e. 18.66. Nodules are the niches of microorganism especially where *Rhizobium* lives and fixes atmospheric nitrogen. Rhizobium lives symbiotically in the roots of leguminous plants and significantly increases number of nodules. It is evident from the data presented in Table 20 that maximum number of nodules 40.67 per plant were recorded with seed inoculation with *Rhizobium*. The seed treatment with *Rhizobium*, Thiram and spray of Alachlor, Mancozeb also showed 39.67 effective number of nodules per plant. Minimum number of nodules per plant showed in control i.e. 24. The data presented in Table 20 clearly indicated that the inoculation of Rhizobium has brought about maximum increase in test weight i.e. 14.25 g which was followed by Rhizobium + Thiram + Alachlor + Mancozeb i.e. 14.07 g. Minimum test weight recorded in control i.e. 12.01 g. The grain yield (Table 16and Fig 16) as influenced by different agrochemicals and bioinoculants was ranged from 18.50 to 23.70 q/ha. The treatment ( $T_5$ ) receiving combination of seed treatment with *Rhizobium* @ 25 g/kg seed + seed treatment with Sulphur @ 2 g/kg seed + pre-emergence spray of Alachlor @ 2 kg/ha + Mancozeb spray @ 0.02 % (30 DAS) when disease incidence observed, showed highest yield (23.70 q/ha) superior to other treatments followed by  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_4$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_6$  in the descending order of yield which were at par with each other.

#### 4. Discussion

In present investigation, the soil samples of agrochemicals and bioinoculant treated plots of Soybean showed that bacterial population in seed treatment with *Rhizobium* and Thiram not much showed any adverse effect while pre-emergence spray of Alachlor @ 2 kg/ha had 13 % reduced bacterial population. 25% decreased population observed in combined treatment of seed treatment with *Rhizobium* + Thiram + pre-emergence spray of Alachlor. At 30 DAS the significantly lowest bacterial count was observed in Mancozeb treated plot while highest bacterial countwas observed in *Rhizobium* treated plot at the time of harvest in all the treatments bacterial population were recovered. Similar results were obtained by several research workers (Votes *et al..*, 1974; Kole *et al..*, 1987; Vig *et al..*, 1990; Shukla *et al..*, 1997; Khan and Ahmed, 2002). In regard to *Azotobacter* population the lowest count was observed in combined effect of pre-emergence spray of Alachlor with seed treatment of Thiram and *Rhizobium*. At 30 DAS in Mancozeb treated plot when disease incidence was occurred, decrease 50% *Azotobacter* population while in other treatment i.e. pre-emergence spray of Alachlor and seed treatment with Thiram recovered *Azotobacter* population in Mancozeb treated plot recovered population at the time of harvest of Soybean. Similar

results were found by several research workers (Votes et al., 1974; Ferrer et al., 1986; Vig et al., 1990; Khan and Ahmed, 2002 and Cycon et al., 2007). In regard to Rhizobium population, combined effect of Alachlor and seed treatment with Thiram and Rhizobium decreased 49 % Rhizobium population at 1 DAS followed by pre-emergence spray of Alachlor but it recovered after 30 days while one day after spraying of Mancozeb when disease incidence was observed decreased 45% Rhizobium population. At the time of harvest the Rhizobium population in Mancozeb treated plots also recovered. Simialr results were obtained by Votes et al.. (1974) Moiroud et al.. (1985), Gadkari (1988), Shetty and Magu (2000), Khan and Masood (2002), Mishra and Chandrabhanu (2006) and Cycon et al.. (2007). In regard to Pseudomonas, actinomycetes and fungi population the lowest microbial count was recorded in combined effect of pre-emergence spray of Alachlor @ 2 kg/ha, seed treatment with Thiram @ 2 g/kg seed, Rhizobium seed treatment @ 25 g/kg seed at 1 DAS. This was followed by Alachlor spray. After 30 DAS population Pseudomonas, Actinomycetes and Fungi were recovered. At the time of disease occurrence (30 DAS) in Mancozeb treated plot the populations were decreased 25 %, 31 % and 27 % in Pseudomonas, Actinomycetes and fungi respectively, while it was restored at the time of harvest. The result of effect of herbicides, fungicides and bioinoculant on Pseudomonas, Actinomycetes and fungi correlates with report of Comper et al.. (1973), Khalifa et al.. (1987), Macedo et al.. (1988), Kole et al.. (1989), Shukla et al.. (1990), Thopate et al.. (1990), Vig et al.. (1990), Donderski et al.. (1992), Martienz Toledo et al.. (1998), Teixido et al.. (1999) and Khan and Ahmed (2007). The result with regard to the plant height, yield and test weight of Sorghum influenced by Azotobacter inoculation have brought about the significant increase in plant height, test weight and yield. Bopaiah and Khader (1984) reported the increased plant height when inoculated with Azotobacter. Gopal Reddy et al. reported seed inoculation with Azotobacter increased 45 per cent yield. The similar results have been reported by Kubsad et al. (2003) and Sumarjeet Singh (1998). The result obtained with regard to nodulation, number of pods, number of branches, yield, test weight of Soybean clearly indicated that the Rhizobium inoculation have brought about the maximum number of nodules, pods, branches, test weight and yield of Soybean. The results obtained in present investigation are in full agreement with those reported in the past. Wunze et al.. (1997) reported microbial inoculation increased number of pods, bunches, test weight and yield. Singh et al.. (1998) found that seed inoculation of Rhizobium increased number of nodules and yield of Soybean. Similar results have been reported by Kubsad et al. (2003), Sumarjeet Singh (1998), Patil et al. (2004). The germination per cent recorded at 10 days was significantly influenced by different bioinoculants. The inoculation of Azotobacter (Sorghum) and Rhizobium (Soybean) brought about the increase in germination. With regard to shoot and root length, fresh and dry weight of shoot and root and vigour index in Sorghum and Soybean in both the pot culture method and field condition. Bopaiah and Khader (1989) reported increased plant height when inoculated with bioinoculant. Terry et al.. (1996) reported that application of Azotobacter chrococcum to tomato significantly increased the plant height, root length, fresh and dry weight of above ground parts and of shoot system. The similar results have also been reported by Wange and Ranawade (1997), Sumarjeet Singh (1998) and Singh et al. (1998).

#### References

- Anarson, S., 1970. Experimental Microbial Ecology. Academic Press, New York. Bacteriology. McGraw Hill, New York, 201 pp.
- Bopaiah, B.M., Khader, K.B.A., 1989. Effect of biofertilizers on growth of black pepper (*Piper nigrum*). Indian J. Agric. Sci. 59(10), 682-683.
- Camper, N.D., Moherek, E.A., Huffman, J., 1973. Changes in microbial populations in paraquat treated soils. Weed Res. 13, 231-233.
- Cycon, M., Piotrowska Seget, Z., 2007. Effect of selected pesticides on soil micro flora involved in organic matter and nitrogen transformation : Pot experiment. Polish J. Ecol. 55(2), 207-220.
- Donderski, W., Kampert, M., Lalke, E., Bryk, M., 1992. Effect of Atrazine on occurance of different groups of microorganisms in soil of apple orchard. Fruit Sci. Rep. 19 (2), 79-88.
- Ferrer, M.R., Gonzalez Lopez, J., Ramos Cormenzana, A., 1986. Effect of some herbicides on the biological activity of *Azotobacter vinelandii*. Soil Biol. Biochem. 18(2), 237-238.
- Gadkari, D., 1988. Effects of Atrazine and Paraquat on nitrifying bacteria. Arch. Environ. Contamin. Toxicol. 17(4), 443-447.

- Khalifa, M.A.S., El-Deeb, S.T., Kadous, E.A., Hassan, A. and Soliman, F.S., 1987. Herbicide, Plant disease relationship: effect of soil herbicides on Rhizoctonia damping off on cotton seedlings. Mededelingen Van de Fakulteit Landbouw Wetenschappen Rijks universiteit Gent, 52(33), 1233-1243.
- Khan, S.J., Masood, A., 2002. Comparative toxicity of some pesticides on Rhizospheric microflora and wheat plant. J. Microbiol. World, 4(2), 127-134.
- Kole, S.C., Dey, B.K., 1987. Effect of aromatic amine herbicides on microbial population and phosphate solubilizing power of the rhizosphere soil of groundnut. Indian Agri. 33(1), 1-8.
- Kubsad, V.S., Hulihalli, V.K., Mallapar, C.P., 2003. Response of safflower to nitrogen and biofertilizers. ISOR National Seminar, Stress management in oilseeds. Jan. 28-30, 2003.
- Macedo, E., Oliveira, D.A., 1988. Effect of herbicides Alachlor on soil microorganism. Summa Phytopath. 14(1-2), 25.
- Margini, M., Rossier, D., Crettaz, p., Jolliet, O., 2002. Life cycle impact assessment of pesticides on human health and ecosystems. Agri. Ecosys. Environ. 93, 379-392.
- Martinez Toledo, M.Y., Salmeron, Y., Rodelas, B., Pozo, C.and Gonzalez Lopez, J. (1998). Effects of the fungicide captan on some functional groups of soil microflora. Applied Soil Ecol., 7:245-255.
- Mishra, J.S., Chandra, B., 2006. Effect of herbicides on weeds, nodulation and growth of Rhizobium in summer Black Gram (*Vigna mungo*). Indian J. Weed Sci. 38(1 and 2), 150-153.
- Moiroud, A., Simonet, P., Faure-Reynard, M., 1985. Effect of plant protection products (herbicides and fungicides) on the growth and infectiveness of pure strains of frankia isolated from alder nodules. Ann. Sci. Fores. 42(3), 339-349.
- Monkiedje, A., Ilori, M.D., Spitelle, M., 2002. Soil quality changes resulting from the application of the fungicides mefenoxam and metalaxyl to a sandy loam soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 34, 1939-1948.
- Patil, A.B., Gundupagol, R.C., Jones, P., Nirmalnath, 2004. Effect of *Rhizobium* on growth and yield of soybean. Karnataka J. Agric. Sci. 187(4), 864-865.
- Pepper, I.L., Gerba, C.P., Brendeke, J.W., 1995. Environmental Microbiology. Academic Press, San Diego.
- Salle, A.J., 1973. Laboratory manual of fundamental principles.
- Shetty, P.K., Magu, S.P., 2000. Effect of metalaxyl on soil microbial population. J. Trop. Agric. 38(1/2), 63-65.
- Shukla, A.K., 1997. Effect of herbicides butachlor, fluchloralin, 2,4-D and oxyfluorfen on microbial population and enzyme activities of rice field soil. Indian J. Ecol. 24(2),189-192.
- Shukla, D.S., Chaturvedi, R., Srivastava, S.K., 1990. Effect of various fungicides on microbial population of soil and some soil factors. J. Environ. Biol. 11(3), 291-298.
- Singh, D., Bajpai, B.P., 1998. Chemical and weed control in rainfed Soybean. Indian J. Agro. 36 (3-4), 292-294.
- Singh, M., Tripathi, A.K., Kundu, S., Rumana, S., Takkan, P.N., 1998. Effect of seed inoculation and FYM on biological N fixation in soybean and nitrogen balance under soybean-wheat system on Vertisol. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 46(4), 604-609.
- Soulas, G., Lors, C., 1999. Perspective and limitations in assessing side effects of pesticides on the soil microflora. Impact of Humans on soil microorganisms. Microbiologie des sols, 139-145.
- Sumarjit, S.M., 1998. Response of different rice cultivars to *Azotobacter*, first Cong. IARI, New Delhi, pp 7Barakart, M.A.S., Gubr, S.M., 1998. Effect of different biofertilziers types and nitrogen fertilizer levels on tomato plants. Alaxandrius Agric. Res. 43(1), 149-160.

Teixido, N., Usull, J., Mujan, N., Vinus, I., 1999. Microbial population dynamics on Golden Delicious apples from bud to harvest and effect of fungicide applications. Ann. Applied Biol. 134(1), 109-116.

Terry, E., Pino, M. de Los, A., Harnander, A.N., 1996. Quality of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) seedlings. Cultivos Tropicales, 17(3), 56-59.

- Thopate, A.M., More, B.B., Hapse, D.G., 1990. Stuides on effect of fungicides on soil microflora of sugarcane. Comparat. Sugar. 21(5), 327-330.
- Vig, K., Singh, D.K., agrawal, H.C., Dhawan, A.K., Dureju, P., 1990. Effect of repeated pesticide application on soil properties in cotton fields. Soil Biol. Biochem. 22, 375-383.
- Votes, J.P., Meer Schaman, P., Verstrate, W., 1974. Soil microbiological effect of long term Atrazine application. Soil Biol. Biochem. 6, 149-152.
- Wange, S.S., Ranawade, D.B., 1997. Effect of microbial inoculants on fresh root development of grape var. Krishmis Charoni. Recent Hort. 4, 27-31.

Wange, S.S., Patil, M.T., Singh, B.R., 1997. Cultivar x biofertilizer interaction study in strawberry. Recent Hort. 4, 43-44.