



Review article

Genotype and sex influencing dressing percentage, carcass parameters and meat quality properties in indigenous chickens

N. Assan*

Open University, Zimbabwe.

*Corresponding author; Open University, Zimbabwe.

ARTICLEINFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 25 June 2015 Accepted 23 July 2015 Available online 30 July 2015

Keywords: Genotype Sex Dressing percentage Carcass Meat quality Indigenous chicken

Indigenous or village or local chickens are an important source of animal protein in the form of meat and eggs, contribute to rural food security and are an important avenue for woman empowerment as indigenous chickens in poor communities are mostly owned by women in Sub Saharan Africa. There are many factors that affect dressing percentage, carcass traits and meat quality properties in indigenous chicken, such as genetics, nutrition, environment, and additives, however, genetics is one of the most important factors. The knowledge on variability of genotype of indigenous chicken accompanied by manipulation of their production system will translate into a specific desirable dressing percentage, carcass characteristic and meat quality properties, which is paramount criteria for consumers when it comes to making purchasing decisions. Therefore, using different indigenous chicken genotypes, the producer may sort to employ management practices which furnish with acceptable dressing percentage, carcass and meat quality properties which do not compromise and maintain health in consumers. However, very few studies have been reported on the utilization of different indigenous chickens genotypes in improvement of carcass and meat quality properties. Feeding systems, slaughter age and rearing systems are some of the environmental factors which have been examined in indigenous chickens to determine their influence on carcass and meat guality properties. It has been noted that varying the discrete variables such as genotype and sex had significant differences in the nature of corresponding carcass and meat quality properties in indigenous chickens. Variations in the genetic make-up and sexual dimorphism in indigenous chickens accounted for the observed differences in dressing percentage and carcass characteristic. The preceding review gives some insight on the influence of genotype and sex on dressing percentage, carcass parameters and meat quality properties in indigenous chickens.

© 2015 Sjournals. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

There has been a strong consumer demand for chicken products in foreign and domestic markets as a result of an accelerated increase in global population and the consumer perception of the health benefits of chicken meat (López et al., 2011). Chicken meat represents 29% of meat production from farmed animals and this proportion is rising each year (McKay et al., 2000). This is on the backdrop that indigenous chicken is the most common type of chicken raised in most rural parts of the sub Saharan communities (Faouzi et al., 2002), mainly reared by resource poor rural farmers (Goromela et al., 2008; Kyarisiima et al., 2004) due to the fact that they are relatively hardy and survive on inadequate feed resources and scavenging (FAO, 2004) under the traditional free range system (Mekria and Gezahegn, 2010). This may imply that a sustainable production and food security of animal proteins, meat production in rural areas should take into consideration the indigenous chicken population which accounts for the majority of the local production of chicken meat (Youssaol et al. 2012). This takes into account the fact that local chickens are an important source of high quality protein (meat and eggs) and they also provide small cash income (Tadelle et al., 2000), hence they provide food security and alleviate poverty. Local chickens are widely distributed in rural and peri-urban areas where they play the important role of income generation, food production and social aspect (Mwalusanya et al., 2001; Thornton et al., 2002; Moreki et al., 2010). Indigenous chickens preferably known as village chickens have remained paramount in the developing world (Do, 2005; Bett et al., 2012) despite the introduction of exotic strains (Magala, 2012) to support the poultry industry.

Chicken meat has been promoted as a lean protein source as most of the fat is stored as subcutaneous fat and within the skin membrane making it easy to remove prior to cooking (Decker and Canton, 1992; Wang et al., 2010). The meat itself is an excellent source of protein in the diet as it contains all of the essential amino acids which are the building blocks for protein along with assisting in production of enzymes and many essential processes in the body (Pellett and Young, 1990). However, even in the developed world were consumers are accustomed to paying low prices for poultry meat, they are increasingly interested in products that they perceive as naturally produced or environmentally friendly, provide a high level of nutrition with no contaminants, good flavor, provide good welfare for the birds, and provide more information about the products they eat. The organic market has targeted indigenous chickens in many developing countries due to environmental concerns, personal health concerns, highly publicized food scares, and debates over genetically modified food (Chang and Zepeda, 2005). However, the success of any food product is determined by consumer acceptability which is largely determined by the perception of quality (Dransfield, 2001). Meat quality is a complex trait that is influenced by genetic and environmental factors, and the variation in meat quality within and between animals can be large (Rehfeldt et al., 2004). Chinese consumers often prefer indigenous chicken breeds over commercial breeds due to their meat qualities (Sheng et al., 2013). In certain instances indigenous chicken meat has been found to possess a unique taste, with strong and tough muscles, whereas the broiler chicken has an over-tender characteristic (Wattanachant et al., 2004; 2005). The meat quality traits of indigenous chickens and broilers have been widely researched in literature (Fanatico et al., 2007; Lu et al., 2007; Tang et al., 2009; Sirri et al., 2010; 2011). In conclusion, Guan, et al. (2013) indicated that the indigenous chickens, especially the Chinese indigenous Ninghai chicken breed showed better quality meat than the broiler breed, as far as the inosine-5' -monophosphate content and texture were concerned. There are many aspects to overall dressing percentage, carcass parameters and meat quality of indigenous chickens, which may be affected by genotype, age, gender, type of production

system, stocking density, temperature, diet, and other factors (Shanin and Elazeem, 2005; Jaturasitha et al., 2008; Peter et al., 1997). Literature indicates that meat quality differences exist between genotypes with different growth rates and raised in alternative production systems (Fanatico et al., 2007). This implies that to produce quality indigenous chicken meat with maximum consumer appeal producers need to recognize the importance of meat quality attributes in utilization of some of the indigenous chicken genotypes. The preceding review gives some insight on the influence of genotype and sex on dressing percentage, carcass parameters and meat quality properties in indigenous chickens.

2. Genotype influencing dressing percentage, carcass parameters and meat quality properties in indigenous chickens

Genotype is an important factor in any indigenous chicken production system since it influences carcass and meat quantity (Fanatico et al., 2007). Jaturasitha, et al. (2008) observed that meat of indigenous chickens had some unique features and seemed to have more advantages over imported breeds than disadvantages, especially when determined for a niche market serving consumers who prefer chewy, low-fat chicken meat. Thutwa, et al. (2012) in a comparative study of live weight, growth performance, feed intake, carcass traits and meat quality in two strains of Tswana chickens raised under intensive system in south east district of Botswana, reported that naked neck males outperformed naked neck females and normal chickens in carcass weight and yield and carcass parts weights and yield, indicating that the naked neck males may be good for meat production. Peters et al. (2010) however, reported significantly higher live weight, dressed weight, heart weight and gizzard weight in the normal strain than in the naked neck strain of scavenging Nigerian indigenous chicken. In Iran naked neck males and females weighed 1416.1 and 1058.3 g, respectively at 19 weeks of age while the Tswana naked neck males and females in the current study weighed 2286.69 and 1493.80 g, respectively at that age even though they were both kept under improved management (Vali, 2008). Thutwa, et al. (2012) reported that the weights of naked neck breast, thigh, drumstick, wing and neck of two strains of Tswana chickens raised under intensive system were double that of Samaera (2003)'s findings in the same strain. This may be due to the fact that the chickens used in Thutwa, et al. (2012) study were from different areas of Botswana leading to high variation while those of Samaera (2003) were from one area. Islam and Nishibori (2009) found that indigenous naked neck of Bangladesh was superior in dressed percentage to indigenous normal feathered chickens. The meat lipids of the Thai native chickens had particularly high proportions of n-3 fatty acids and a favorably low n-6/n-3 fatty acid ratio compared with the other genotypes. Furthermore, the ratio of red and intermediate to white fibers was higher in the thigh muscle, and the diameter of all muscle fiber types in both muscles which was found to be smaller in the indigenous compared with the imported breeds. This was in agreement with reports by Jaturasitha et al., (2004a), Shahin and Elazeem (2005) and Chaosap and Tuntivisoottikul (2006) who observed that genotype (breed and strain) of chicken played a major role in carcass fatness. Breed or variety of indigenous chicken differences in fatty acid profiles, sensory attributes and physical meat quality attributes offer an opportunity to improve efficiency of desirable meat production. Several unsaturated fatty acids have been reported to intensify the taste of meat. The primary oxidation products of linoleic acid (C18:2), such as hexanal and 2,4-decadienal, are the most abundant aldehydes implicated in chicken meat taste (Shi and Ho, 1994). Therefore, increasing the levels of these tasteactive compounds in chicken meat may improve its sensory quality (Jayasena et al. 2015). However, the concentration of taste-active compounds in chicken meat is known to be affected by a number of factors including the chicken breed/strain, diet of bird, and cooking (Jayasena et al., 2013a). On the other hand, inosine 5 monophosphate (IMP)—the predominant nucleotide in meat—and glutamic acid have vital contributions towards the development of umami taste in chicken meat (Fujimura et al., 1996; Liu et al., 2007; Sasaki et al., 2007). Cheng et al. (2008) studying the effects of free-range farming on carcass and meat qualities of black-feathered Taiwan native chicken observed that free-range Taiwan native chicken appeared to yield the best of the results, with flavorful yet tender leg meat for higher sensory satisfaction, and high-protein but low-fat breast meat for healthier diet choice. The scores of all the attributes including aroma, flavor, firmness, tenderness, juiciness and overall acceptability of leg meat from free-range chickens were slightly higher than for conventional chickens, while the reverse was true for breast meat, though no significant difference could be found. Nielsen et al. (2003) reported that slow-growing genotypes such as most indigenous chickens were characterized by a significantly lower breast yield, but higher yield of thigh and drumstick muscles than fast-growing chickens. In a similar study, breast and leg

yield of slow-growing chicken ranged from 10.4% to 26.0% and 24.6% to 37.4%, respectively (Lewis et al., 1997; Quentin et al., 2003; N'Dri et al., 2006).

Poultry or 'white meat' is an alternative meat for health conscious consumers, as it contains low cholesterol and fat and consumers prefer indigenous chicken meat to poultry meat because indigenous chicken meat has more chewy and tasty meat (Jaturasitha et al., 2002). Meat quality traits of poultry include chemical (proteins, total lipids, etc.) and physical traits (pH, color, water holding capacity, texture, sarcomere length, etc.) (Petracci and Baeza, 2011). The success of any food product is determined by consumer acceptability which is largely determined by the perception of quality (Dransfield, 2001). Previous studies in other livestock species have shown that consumer's perception on meat healthiness is related to its fat content and fatty acid composition (Fisher and Scougall, 1982). Fat remains an important quality determinant of meat although the chemical and physical properties of fat usually have little influence on the commercial value of carcass, these properties do influence the eating and keeping quality of meat (Kempster et al., 1982). Higher intake of animal fats especially those rich in long chains of saturated fatty acids have been associated with increased plasma cholesterol and the risk of atherosclerosis and cardiovascular diseases (Ascherio, 2003; Sacks and Katan, 2002). Lee and Lin (1993) reported a higher shear value, cohesiveness, but lower in hardness value has been which contributed to a better eating quality of Taiwan native chicken when compared with the broilers. According to the literature, the mean yield for slow-growing chickens ranged between 13.4 and 26% for breast, between 24.6 and 37.4% for leg and between 17 and 4% for abdominal fat (Janocha et al., 2003; Sengül et al., 2003). Guan, et al. (2013) observed that fiber diameter of the indigenous chickens was shorter than that of commercial broilers, significantly both in the pectoralis major muscle and the biceps femoris muscle. Results suggest that the fast-growing commercial broilers had the larger fiber diameter than the slow-growing chicken genotypes of the Chinese indigenous chickens. It is generally considered that tenderness of poultry meat depends on the quality of connective tissue (collagen), the myofibrillar structure and the structural interactions between fibres, the extracellular matrix and the breed genotype (Sante et al., 2001; Wattanachant et al., 2004). The meat of the indigenous chickens, especially of the Thai chickens, was higher in shear force and collagen content (thigh only) than meat of the imported breeds (Jaturasitha et al., 2008). According to Wattanachant et al. (2004), the shear values of the indigenous chicken muscles, either raw or cooked, were significantly higher than those for the commercial broiler muscles, hence similar observations were reported by Dransfield, (1994). It was concluded that the differences in the fiber diameters may be due to the differences in the genotype, age, production system and diet. The color score of three breeds of Korean local chicken was higher than that of silky fowl (Choo, et al. 2014). Color of chicken meat is important since consumers associate it with the product's freshness, and hence influences whether or not to buy the product (Yang and Jiang, 2005). Choo et al. (2014) observed that within the local breeds, and the silky fowl named as Chinese Taihe chicken or black-boned chicken, and meat of black-boned chickens had shown less color value than other genotypes (Jaturasitha et al., 2008), which is assumed to be due to genetic influence. Meat color is generally influenced by animal related factors, mainly the genotype (Fletcher, 1995) and the age of animals (Fanatico et al., 2005). Husak et al. (2008) reported that higher meat pH is more effective for retaining desirable color and moisture absorption properties. The pH value has been associated with numerous other meat quality attributes including tenderness, water holding capacity WHC, cooking loss, juiciness, and shelf life (Allen et al., 1998). The meat tenderness and toughness traits are a major aspect of consumption quality among consumers (Maltin et al., 2003; Mullen et al., 2006).

3. Sex influencing dressing percentage, carcass parameters and meat quality properties in indigenous chickens

Kgwatalala et al. (2013) observed that generally, naked neck males and females had the highest live weight, carcass weight, dressing percentage, primal cuts weight and giblets weight and dwarf males and females had the lowest weights in those parameters. This might be attributable to higher pre-slaughter live weight of males compared to that of females contributed to significantly heavier primal cuts weight of males than females. The naked neck strain thus shows the greatest promise for possible selection and development of meat type chicken of indigenous Tswana chickens. Raach-Moujahed and Haddad (2013) reported a mean dressing percent of 68.6 percent for Tunisia local chicken raised for 112 days with outdoor access. Likewise, there was no significant difference in dressing percent between males and females within slaughter age, the average values being 66.2 and 64.7 percent for males and females respectively. The higher dressing percent in 7 month birds is expected since, as birds increase in weight there is proportionate increase in muscle and other tissues except bones which at 5th

month are most likely to have approached peak growth. In another study Gueye (1998) and Aini (1999) observed higher abdominal fat for female chickens compared to their male counterpart but this was not the case in another study by Isidahomen, et al. (2012). The reason cited was that variability was higher in abdominal fat in male, which might not be unconnected with the high influence of environment on these traits. In the same studies, the result for kidney fat was always constant and was not affected by genotype, sex or their interaction. In Uganda indigenous chicken cockerels under the free range system require more time to attain market weight and their meat possesses attributes that are concomitant with tastes and preferences of many chicken consumers. Other than sex, carcass quality traits depend on a number of factors as genotype, and age which had a greater impact and the possibility of genetically improving carcass quality by selection based on genetic variability of body weight and body composition (Pikul et al., 1987). Joseph et al. (1992) reported that dressing percentage of male local chicken was significantly higher than that of female. The carcass weight obtained for the male was higher than that of the female Theerachai (2009) in addition to male local chicken having higher proportion of total carcass. This was also observed in different study by Garcia et al. (1993) which strengthens the argument for inherent genetic differences. Isidahomen, et al. (2012) studying indigenous chickens in Nigeria reported that genotype as well as sex influenced carcass characteristics and slaughter yield at twenty weeks old. The slaughter weight, carcass weight and dressing percentage were better in the indigenous naked neck chicken than other genetic groups. It could therefore be concluded that variations in the genetic make-up and sexual dimorphism in the chickens accounted for the observed differences in carcass characteristic. This phenomenon known as sexual dimorphism has been revealed by several reports to usually favour male compared to female especially in poultry (Ikeobi et al., 1995; Peters et al., 2010). This implies that male indigenous chickens across genotypes would show remarkable and better carcass yield and parameters than their female counterparts. Males generally had higher values for slaughter weight, weight after bleeding, slaughter weight after defeathering, carcass weight and other organ weights measured (Cahaner et al. 1993). The weight of kidneys and heart were for the male Fulani ecotype type chickens were significantly higher than that of females while the proventiculus, abdominal fat and intestinal offals of the female were significantly higher than males (Sola-Ojo and Ayorinde, 2009). Fayeye et al. (2006) attributed this difference to genetic effect of sex which arises from the male physiological activities. It has also been reported that sex differences were usually due to differences in hormonal profile, aggressiveness and dominance especially when both sexes are reared together (Ibe and Nwosu, 1999; Ilori et al., 2010). Adedeji et al. (2004) reported that the aggressiveness of males over the females especially when reared together put the females at a disadvantage for feed and water, which might influence their remarkable and better carcass yield and parameters than their female counterparts. The higher pre-slaughter live weight in naked neck females of indigenous Tswana chickens relative to the dwarf strain is consistent with Yakubu (2008) who reported live weights of 1.3 kg and 1.16 kg in the naked neck and dwarf hens of Nigerian indigenous chickens, respectively. Just like their male counterparts, female naked neck chickens had the highest values for various carcass parameters investigated (primal cuts and giblets weights) and the dwarf strain had the lowest values with the exception of liver weight where the normal strain had the lowest weight.Debut et al. (2005) reported that acute heat stress affected blood Ca2+ and Na+ concentration and increased glycaemia and glycolytic potential of thigh muscle. Both acute heat stress and shackling before slaughter were experienced as stressful events by all types of birds. The significantly higher blood yield in males than females was reported by Igbal and Pampori (2008) in indigenous chickens of Kashmmir. Choo, et al. (2014) concluded that four local breeds of chicken had some unique features and seem to have more advantages, and this information could help consumers who prefer healthy and premium chicken meat. In general, several studies have acknowledged the sex influence on carcass parameters in different strains/genotypes of indigenous chickens from various countries (de Marchi et al., 2005; Daikwo et. al., 2011; Igbal and Pampori, 2008; Thutwa et al., 2012; Isidahomen et al., 2012; Khalid et al., 2012; de Almeida and Zuber, 2010).

4. Implications

Considerable variation exist among indigenous chicken genotypes/breeds/strains on dressing percentage, carcass parameters and meat quality properties. Knowledge of variation in dressing percentage, carcass parameters and meat quality properties as a result of utilization of different genotypes in different management systems can be used to identify optimal breeds/genotypes/strains for maximization of production targeted on the existing markets. The present review focused on factors important to alternative to indigenous chicken producers: sex and genotype their influence on dressing percentage, carcass parameters and meat quality properties. With

this in mind, a better understanding of dressing percentage, carcass parameters and meat quality of widely divergent genotypes raised in different production systems and provided different nutritional regime will help producers make informed decisions on the end product. It is suffice to suggest that white meat such as of the indigenous chicken is considered superior in health aspects to red meat because of comparably low contents of fat, cholesterol. In this regard it is reasonable to suggest that improvement of indigenous chicken in terms of meat quality and quantity through manipulation of non genetic factors is paramount to provision of high quality protein to developing countries' poor majority. The value of increasing our knowledge of indigenous chicken production issues and the end products such as carcass parameters and meat quality properties should be strengthened for the purpose of evaluation and marketing purposes in the formal poultry industry. Ascertaining the differences and predicting changes in carcass composition of indigenous chicken to cut- up parts of chicken. However, despite the present review focusing on genotype and sex influence on dressing percentage, carcass parameters and meat quality properties in indigenous chickens, it is imperative to ascertain the holistic influence of interactions of different environmental factors on the same parameters.

References

- Adedeji, T.A., Adebambo, O.A., Ozoje, M.O., Dipeolu, M.A., Peters, S.O., 2004. Early growth performance of crossbred chickens resulting from different sire strains. In eds. O.J., Ariyo, C.O.N., Ikeobi, M.O., Ozoje, I.T., Omoniyi, Kehinde, O.B., 126–129. Proc. of the 29th Annual Conference of the Genetics Society of Nigeria, October 11–12. Abeokuta: University of Agriculture.
- Adetayo, A.S., Babafunso, S.E., 2001. Comparison of the performance of Nigerian indigenous chickens from three agro-ecological zones. Livest. Res. Dev. 13, 2.
- Aini, I., 1990. Indigenous chicken production in South-East-Asia. World. Poult. Sci. J. 46, 51-57.
- Allen, C.D., Fletcher, D.L., Northcutt, J.K., Russell, S.M., 1998. The relationship of broiler breast color to meat quality and shelf-life. Poult. Sci. 77, 361–366.
- Ascherio, A., 2003. Epidemiologic studies on dietary fats and coronary heart diseases. Am. J. Med. 133(9), 9-12.
- Besbes, B., 2009. Genotype evaluation and breeding of poultry for performance under sub-optimal village conditions. World. Poult. Sci. J. 65(2), 260-271.
- Bett, H.K., Bett, R.C., Peters, K.J., Kahi, A.K., Bokelmann, W., 2012. Linking utilization and conservation of indigenous chicken resources to vale chains. J. Anim. Prod. Adv. 2, 33–52.
- Cahaner, A., Deeb, N., Gutrnan, M., 1993. Effect of the plumage-reducing Naked-neck (Na) gene on the performance of fast growing broilers at normal and high ambient temperatures. Poult. Sci. 72, 767-775.
- Chang, H., Zepeda, L., 2005. Consumer perceptions and demand for organic food in Australia: Focus group discussions. Renew. Agric. Food. Syst. 20, 155–167.
- Chaosap, C., Tuntivisoottikul. K., 2006. Carcass quality and some muscle properties of broiler, native, Sritong and Tanawsri chickens. in Proc. 43rd Annu. Conf. Kasetsart Univ., Bangkok, Thailand. 52-60.
- Cheng, F.Y., Huang, C.W., Wan, T.C., Liu, Y.T., Lin, L.C., Lou Chyr, C.Y., 2008. The effects of free-range farming, compared to a conventional production system, on carcass and meat qualities were studied using black-feathered Taiwan native chickens. Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci. 1(8), 1201-1206.
- Choo, Y.K., Kwon, H.J., Oh, S.T., Um, J.S., Kim, B.G., Kang, C.W., Lee, S.K., An, B.K., 2014. Comparison of growth performance, carcass characteristics and meat quality of Korean local chickens and silky fowl. Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci. March, 27(3), 398–405.
- Daikwo, I.S., Okpe, A.A., Ocheja, J.O., 2011. Phenotypic characterization of local chickens in Dekina. Int. J. Poult. Sci. 10, 444-447.
- De Almeida, A.M., Zuber, U., 2010. The effect of the Naked Neck genotype (Nana), feeding and outdoor rearing on growth and carcass characteristics of free range broilers in a hot climate. Trop. Anim. Health. Prod. 42, 99-107.
- De Marchi, M.M., Cassandro, M., Lunardi, E., Baldan, G., Siegel, P.B., 2005. Carcass characteristics and qualitative meat traits of the Padovana Breed of chicken. Int. J. Poult. Sci. 4, 233-238.
- Debut, M., Berri, C., Arnould, C., Guemené, D., Santé-Lhoutellier, V., Sellier, N., Baéza, E., Jehl, N., Jégo, Y., Beaumont, C., Le Bihan-Duval, E., 2005. Behavourial and physiological responses of three chicken breeds to pre-slaughter shackling and acute heat stress. Br. Poult. Sci. 46, 527-535.

- Decker, E.A., Canton, A.H., 1992. Influence of the fatty acid composition of feeds on the fatty acid composition of chicken. Fatty Acids in Food and their Health Implications. C. K. Chow, ed. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York. 156-59.
- Do, V.M., 2005. Effect of supplementation, breed, season and location on feed and performance of scavenging local chickens in Vietnam. A Doctorial Thesis Submitted to Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden.
- Dransfield, E., 2001. Consumer issues and acceptance of meat in proceedings of the 47th Int. Congr. Meat. Sci. Technol. 72-77, August 2001, Krakow, Poland. 26-31.
- Dransfield, E., 1994. Tenderness of meat, poultry and fish. In: Quality attributes and their measurement in meat, poultry and fish products. Pearson, A.M. and Dutson, T.R., (eds.). Black Academic & Professional. UK, 289-315.
- Emuron, N., Magala, H., Kyazze, F.B., Kugonza, D.R., Kyarisiima, C.C., 2010. Factors influencing the trade of local chickens in Kampala city markets. LRRD, 22, 1–14.
- Fanatico, A.C., Pillai, P.B., Emmert, J.L., Owens, C.M., 2007. Meat quality of slow- and fast-growing chicken genotypes fed low-nutrient or standard diets and raised indoors or with outdoor access. Poult. Sci. 86(10), 2245-2255.
- Fanatico, A.C., Cavitt, L.C., Pillai, P.B., Emmert, J.L., Owens, C.M., 2005. Evaluation of slower-growing broiler genotypes grown with and without outdoor access: Meat quality. Poult. Sci. 84, 1785–1790.
- FAO, 2004. Small-scale Poultry Production. A corporate document repository.
- Faouzi, K.E.L., Omari, N., Tmiri, N., Jaouzi, T., 2002. Health and management constraints to family poultry development in Morocco. Characteristics and parameters of family poultry production in Africa.
- Fisher, C., Scougall, R.K., 1982. A note on the amino acid composition of the turkey. Br. Poult. Sci. 23, 233-237.
- Fletcher, D.L., 1995. Relationship of breast meat color variation to muscle pH and texture. Poult. Sci. 74(Supp 1), 120. (Abstr.).
- Fujimura, S., Koga, H., Takeda, H., Tone, N., Kadowaki, M., Ishibashi, T., 1996. Role of taste-active components, glutamic acid, 5'-inosinic acid and potassium ion in taste of chicken meat extract. Anim. Sci. Technol. 67, 423– 429.
- Fulton, J.E., Juul-Madsen, H.R., Ashwell, C.M., McCarron, A.M., Arthur, J.A., O'Sullivan, N. P., Taylor, R.J., 2006. Molecular genotype identification of the Gallus gallus major histocompatibility complex. Immunogenetics, 58, 407–421.
- Garcia, E.A., Mendes, A.A., Curi, P.K., Silva, A.B., Da, P., Gonzalez, 1991. Effect of line and diet on growth and carcass yield of broilers. Anim. Breed. Abstr. 61(8), 21-28.
- Goromela, E.H., Kwakkel, R.P., Verstegen, M.W.A., Katule, A.M., 2008. Effect of season and farming system on the quality and nutritional quality of scavengeable feed resources and performance of village poultry in Central Tanzania. J. Cell. Anim. Biol. 2, 63–71.
- Guan, R-F., Lyu, F., Chen, X-Q., Ma, J-Q., Jiang, H., Xiao, C-G., 2013. Meat quality traits of four Chinese indigenous chicken breeds and one commercial broiler stock. J. Zhejiang. Univ. Sci. B. Oct, 14(10), 896–902.
- Gueye, E.F., 1998. Village egg and fowl meat production in Africa. World. Poult. Sci. J. 54, 73-86.
- Holcman, A., 1986. Two way selection for body weight in chickens. Zb. Bioteh. Fak. Univ. Edvarda Kardelja Ljubl., Kmet. Živin., 48(1986), 121–167.
- Husak, R.L., Sebranek, J.G., Bregendahl, K., 2008. A survey of commercially available broilers marketed as organic, free-range, and conventional broilers for cooked meat yields, meat composition, and relative value. Poult. Sci. 87, 2367–2376.
- Ibe, S.N., Nwosu, U.F., 1999. Influence of naked neck and frizzle genes on early growth of chickens. Book of Proceeding: 26th Annual NSAP conference, 211 25 March, Ilorin, Nigeria. 2292-295.
- Igbal, S., Pampori, Z.A., 2008. Production potential and qualitative traits of indigenous chicken of Kashmir. Livest. Res. Rural. Dev. 20(11).
- Igbal, S., Pampori, Z.A., 2008. Production potential and qualitative traits of indigenous chicken of Kashmir. Livest. Res. Rural. Dev. 20(11).
- Ikeobi, C.O.N., Ozoje, M.O., Adebambo, O.A., Adenowo, J.A., Osinowo, O.A., 1996. Genetic differences in the performance of local chicken in South Western Nigeria. Niger. J. Genet. 11, 33–39.

- Ilori, B.M., Peters, S.O., Ikeobi, C.O.N., Bamgbose, A.M., Isidahomen, C.E., Ozoje, M.O., 2010. Comparative assessment of growth in pure and crossbred Turkeys in a humid tropical environment. Int. J. Poult. Sci. 9(4), 368-375.
- Isidahomen, C.E., Ilori, B.M., Akano, K., 2012. Genetic and sex differences in carcass traits of Nigerian indigenous chickens. J. Anim. Sci. Adv. 2012, 2(7), 637-648.
- Islam, M.A., Nishibori, M., 2009. Indigenous naked neck chicken: a valuable genetic resource for Bangladesh. World. Poult. Sci. J. 65, 125-138.
- Janocha, A., Osek, M., Klocek, B., Wasilowska, Z., Turyk, Z., 2003. Quality evaluation of broiler chickens of various genetic groups. Appl. Sci. Rep. Anim. Prod. Rev. 68, 141-148.
- Jaturasitha, S., Srikanchai, T.M., Kreuzer, Wicke, M., 2008. Differences in carcass and meat characteristics between chicken indigenous to Northern Thailand (Black-Boned and Thai Native) and Imported Extensive Breeds (Bresse and Rhode Island Red). Poult. Sci. 87(1), 160-169.
- Jaturasitha, S., Leangwunta, V., Leotaragul, A., Phongphaew, A., Apichartsrungkoon, T., Simasathitkul, N., Vearasilp, T., Worachai, L., Ter Meulen, U., 2002. A comparative study of Thai native chicken and broiler on productive performance, carcass and meat quality. Deutscher Tropentag. Witzen hausen, Germany, (Abstr.).
- Jaturasitha, S.R., Khiaosaard, A., Pongpaew, A., Leawtharakul, S., Saitong, T., Apichatsarangkul, Leaungwunta, V., 2004. Carcass and indirect meat quality of native and Kai Baan Thai chickens with different sex and slaughter weight. in Proc. 42nd Annu. Conf. Kasetsart Univ., Bangkok, Thailand. 116–126.
- Jayasena, D.D., Jung, S., Kim, H.J., Bae, Y.S., Yong, H.I., Lee, J.H., Kim, J.G., Jo, C.R., 2013. Comparison of quality traits of meat from Korean native chickens and broiler used in two different traditional Korean cuisines. Asian. Aust. J. Anim. Sci. 26, 1038–1046.
- Jayasena, D.D., Samooel Jung, S., Joo Kim, H., In Yong, H., Ki Chang Nam, Cheorun Jo, C., 2015. Taste-active compound levels in Korean native chicken meat: The effects of bird age and the cooking process. Poult. Sci. 94, 1964–1972.
- Joseph, J.K., Awosanya, B., Adeniran, A.T., Otagba, U.M., 1997. The effect of end-point internal cooking temperatures on the meat quality attributes of selected Nigerian poultry meats. Food. Qual. Prefr. 8, 57-61.
- Kempster, A.J., Cuthbertson, A., Harrington, C., 1982. Carcass structure and composition in carcass evaluation in livestock breeding, production and maketing. Granada Pub. London, UK. 11.
- Kgwatalala, P.M., Bolowe, A.M., Thutwa, K., Nsoso, S.J., 2013. Carcass traits of the naked-neck, dwarf and normal strains of indigenous Tswana chickens under an intensive management system. Agric. Biol. J. N. Am. 2013, 4(4), 413-418.
- Khalid, A.M., Yousif, I.A., Omer, M.I., Elamin, K.M., 2012. Genetic variability of body composition traits in Sudanese Native large Beladi Chicken. Agr. Biol. J. North. Am. 3, 69-76.
- Kingori, A.M., Wachira, A.M., Tuitoek, J.K. 2010. Indigenous Chicken Production in Kenya: A Review. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 9:309–316.
- Kyarisiima, C.C., Kugonza, D.R., Twesigye, C.K., 2004. The Potential role of the Ugandan indigenous chicken in poverty alleviation. Uganda. J. 50, 85–90.
- Kyarisiima, C.C., Nagujja, F.A., Magala, H., Kwizera, H., Kugonza, D.R., Bonabana-Wabbi, J., 2011. Perceived tastes and preferences of chicken meat in Uganda. LRRD, 23 (11).
- Lee, H.F., Lin, L.C., 1993. Studies on difference in appearance and meat quality of Taiwan country chicken and broiler. Food. Sci. 20, 265-276.
- Lewis, P.D., Perry, G.C., Farmer, L.J., Patterson, R.L.S., 1997. Responses of two genotypes of chicken to the diets and stocking densities typical of UK and "Label Rouge" production systems: I. Performance, behaviour and carcass composition. Meat. Sci. 45, 501–516.
- Liu, Y., Xu, X.L., Zhou, G.H., 2007. Changes in taste compounds of duck during processing. Food. Chem. 102, 22–26.
- López, K.P., Schilling, M.W., Corzo, A., 2011. Broiler genetic strain and sex effects on meat characteristics. Poult. Sci. 90, 1105–1111.
- Lu, Q., Wen, J., Zhang, H., 2007. Effect of chronic heat exposure on fat deposition and meat quality in two genetic types of chicken. Poultr. Sci. 86(6), 1059–1064.
- Magala, H., Kugonza, D.R., Kwizera, H., Kyarisiima, C.C., 2012. Influence of management system on growth and carcass characteristics of Ugandan local chickens. J. Anim. Sci. Adv. 2(6), 557-567.
- Maltin, C., Balcerzak, D., Tilley, R., Delday, M., 2003. Determinants of meat quality: tenderness. Proc. Nutr. Soc. 62, 337-347.

- Mandal, M.K., Khandar, N., Khandekar, P., 2006. Backyard poultry farming in Bareilly district of Utar Pradesh, India: An Analysis. LRRD 18(7).
- McKay, J.C., Barton, N.F., Koerhuis, A.N.M., McAdam, J., 2000. Broiler production around the world. Proceedings of XXI World's Poultry Congress; Montreal, Canada. 2000. CD-ROM.
- Mekria S., Gezahegn, E., 2010. Prevalence of external parasites of poultry in intensive and backyard chicken Farm at Wolayta Saddo town, southern Ethiopia. Vet. World. 3, 533–538.
- Moreki, J.C., Dikeme, R., Poroga, B., 2010. The role of village poultry in food security and HIV/AIDS mitigation in Chobe District of Botswana. Livest. Res. Rural. Dev. 22, Article #5.
- Moritz, J.S., Parsons, A.S., Buchanan, N.P., Baker, N.J., Jaczynski, J., Gekara, O.J., Bryan, W.B., 2005. Synthetic methionine and feed restriction effects on performance and meat quality of organically reared broiler chickens. J. Appl. Poult. Res. 14, 521-535.
- Mullen, A.M., Stapleton, P.C., Corcoran, D., Hamill, R.M., White, A., 2006. Understanding meat quality through the application of genomic and proteomic approaches. Meat Sci. 74, 3-16.
- Mwalusanya, N.A., Katule, A.M., Mutayoba, S.K., Mtambo, M.M.A., Olsen, J.E., Minga, U.M, 2001. Productivity of local chickens under village management conditions. Trop. Anim. Health. Prod. 34, 405-416.
- N'Dri, A.L., Mignon-Grasteau, S., Sellier, N., Tixier-Boichard, M., Beaumont, C., 2006. Genetic relationships between feed conversion ratio, growth curve and body composition in slow-growing chickens. Br. Poult. Sci. 47, 273–280.
- Ndegwa, J.M., Mead, R., Norrish, P., Kimani, C.W., Wachira, A.M., 2001. The growth performance of indigenous Kenyan chickens fed diets containing different levels of protein during rearing. Journal of Trop. Anim. Health. Prod. 33, 441–448.
- Nielsen, B.L., Thomsen, M.G., Rensen, P.S., Young, J.F., 2003. Feed and strain effects on the use of outdoor areas by broilers. B. Poult. Sci. 44, 161–169.
- Pellett, P., Young, V., 1990. Role of meat as a source of protein and essential amino acids in human protein nutrition. Adv. Meat. Res. 6.
- Peter, W., Danicke, S., Jeroch, H., 1997. Einfluss der Ernährungsintensität auf den Wachstumsverlauf und die Mastleitung französischer "LABEL" Broiler. Arch. Tierz. 40, 69-84.
- Peters, S.O., Ikeobi, C.O.N., Ozoje, M.O., Adebambo, O.A., 2002. Genetic variations in the performance of the Nigeria local chicken. Tropic. Anim. Product. Investig. 5, 37-46.
- Petracci, M., Baeza, E., 2011. Harmonization of methodologies for the assessment of poultry meat quality features. World. Poult. Sci. J. 67(1), 137–151.
- Pikul, J., Doruchowski, W., Tanski, S., Reksinki, J., 1987. Slaughter yield, carcass composition, chemical analysis and technological properties. http:// books, Google.Co. Za. / book.
- Quentin, M., Bouvarel, I., Berri, C., Le Bihan-Duval, E., Béeza E., Jégo, Y., Picard, M., 2003. Growth, carcass composition and meat quality response to dietary concentrations in fast-, medium- and slow-growing commercial broilers. Anim. Res. 52, 65–77.
- Raach-Moujahed, A., Haddad, B., 2013. Performance, livability, carcass yield and meat quality of Tunisian local poultry and fast-growing genotype (Arbor Acres) fed standard diet and raised outdoor access. J. Anim. Prod. Adv. 3(3), 75-85.
- Rehfeldt, C., Fiedler, I., Stickland, N.C., 2004. Number and size of muscle fibres in relation to meat production.
 Muscle Development of Livestock Animals: Physiology, Genetics and Meat Quality. M. F. W. te Pas, M. E. Everts, and H. P. Haagsman, ed. CABI Publ., Cambridge, MA. 1–38.
- Sacks, F.M., Katan, M., 2002. Randomized clinical trials on the effects of dietary fat and carbohydrates on plasma lipoproteins and cardiovascular disease. Am. J. Med. 113(9), 13-24.
- Samaera, G., 2003. Assessment of carcass composition, meat yield and quality in three ndigenous chicken genotypes fed locally compounded feed compared to commercial feed at Botswana college of Agriculture. (Unpublished Bachelor's Degree Dissertation, Botswana College of Agriculture, University of Botswana).
- Sante, V., Fernandez, X., Monin, G., Renou, J.P., 2001. Méthode de mesure de la qualité des viandes de volaille. Inra. Prod. Anim. 14, 247-254.
- Saowakon, W., 2008. Factors affecting the quality characteristics of Thai indigenous chickens. Suranaree. J. Sci. Tech. 15, 317–322.

- Sasaki, K., Motoyama, M., Mitsumoto, M., 2007. Changes in the amounts of water-soluble umami-related substances in porcine longissimus and biceps femoris muscles during moist heat cooking. Meat. Sci. 77, 167–172.
- Sengül, T., Cetin, M., Konca, Y., Yildiz, A., 2003. Comparison of growth performance and carcass yield of some commercial broilers. J. Poult. Res. 3(1), 12-16.
- Shanin, K.A., Elazeem, F.A., 2005. Effects of breed, sex and diet and their interactions on carcass composition and tissue weight distribution of broiler chickens. Arch. Tierz. 48, 612-626.
- Sheng, Z., Pettersson, M.E., Hu, X., Luo, C., Qu, H., Shu, D., Shen, X., Carlborgm, Ö., Lim, N., 2013. Genetic dissection of growth traits in a Chinese indigenous×commercial broiler chicken cross. BMC Genom. 14(1), 151.
- Sirri, F., Castellini, C., Bianchi, M., Petracci, M., Meluzzi, A., Franchini, A., 2011. Effect of fast-, medium- and slowgrowing strains on meat quality of chickens reared under the organic farming method. Animal. 5(2), 312–319.
- Sola-Ojo, F.E., Ayorinde, K.L., 2009. Effects of sex on carcass characteristics of the Fulani Ecotype chicken. Proc. 14th Annual Conf. of Animal Society of Nigeria. ASAN. 14th–17th Sept. 2009. LAUTECH, Ogbomoso: 35-37. Eds. Akinlade, J.A., Olayemi, T.B., Rafiu T.A., Akinwunmi, A.O., Aderinola, O.A., Ojebiyi, O.O and Odunsi, A.A.
- Tadelle, D., Alemu, Y., Peters, K.J., 2000. Indigenous chickens in Ethiopia: genetic potential and attempts at improvement. World. Poult. Sci. 56, 45-54.
- Tang, H., Gong, Y.Z., Wu, C.X., Jiang, J., Wang, Y., Li, K., 2009. Variation of meat quality traits among five genotypes of chicken. Poult. Sci. 88(10), 2212–2218.
- Theerachai, H., 2009. Study on chicken meat production for small –scale Farmers in North east Thailand.http://books.google.Co.Za./.book.
- Thornton, P.K., Kruska, R.L., Henniger, N., Kristjanson, P.M., Atieno, F., Odero, E.N., Ndegwa, T., 2002. Mapping poverty and Livestock in developing world. ILRI, Nairobi, Kenya, 124.
- Thutwa, K., Nsoso, S.J., Kgwatalala, P.M., Moreki, J.C., 2012. Comparative live weight, growth performance, feed intake, carcass traits and meat quality in two strains of Tswana chickens raised under intensive system in south east district of Botswana. Int. J. Appl. Poult. Res. 1(1), 21-26.
- Vali, N., 2008. Indigenous chicken production in Iran: A review. Pak. J. Biol. Sci, 11, 2525-2531.
- Wang, K.H., Shi, S.R., Dou, T.C., Sun, H.J., 2009. Effect of a free-range raising system on growth performance, carcass yield, and meat quality of slow-growing chicken. Poult. Sci. 88, 2219–2223.
- Wang, Y., Lehane, C., Ghebremeskel, K., Crawford, M.A., 2010. Modern organic and broiler chickens sold for human consumption provide more energy from fat than protein. Public. Health. Nutr. 13, 400-408.
- Wattanachant, S., Benjakul, S., Ledward, D.A., 2004. Composition, color and texture of Thai indigenous and broiler chicken muscles. Poult. Sci. 83, 123-128.
- Wattanachant, S., Benjakul, S., Ledward, D.A., 2005. Effect of heat treatment on change in texture, structure and properties of Thai indigenous muscle. Food. Chem. 93, 337-348.
- Wattanachant, S., Benjakul, S., Ledward, D.A., 2004. Composition, color, and texture of Thai indigenous and broiler chicken muscles. Poult. Sci. 8, 123-128.
- Yakubu, A., Ogah, D.M., Barde, R.E., 2008. Productivity and egg quality characteristics of free range naked neck and normal feathered Nigerian indigenous chickens. Int. J. Poult. Sci. 7, 579-585.
- Yang, N., Jiang, R.S., 2005. Recent advances in breeding for quality chickens. World. Poult. Sci. J. 61, 373–382.
- Youssaol, I.A.K., Alkoiret, I.T., Dahouda, M., Assogba1, M.N., Idrissou, N-D., Kayang, B.B., Yapi-Gnaoré, V., Assogba1, H.M., Houinsou1, A.S., Ahounou1, S.G., Tougan1, U.P., Rognon, X., Tixier-Boichard, M., 2012. Comparison of growth performance, carcass characteristics and meat quality of Benin indigenous chickens and Label Rouge (T55×SA51). Afr. J. Biotechnol. 11(89), 15569-15579.